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DISPOSITION AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT
(CANDLESTICK POINT AND PHASE 2 OF THE HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD)

DESIGN REVIEW AND DOCUMENT APPROVAL PROCEDURE

This DRDAP implements and is part of the DDA. As used herein, the capitalized terms defined in Section I.A have the meanings ascribed to them in Section I.A. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this DRDAP shall have the meanings for such terms set forth in the DDA.

I. INTRODUCTION

This DRDAP sets forth the procedures for reviewing the designs, plans and specifications for Infrastructure and Vertical Improvements in the Project Site. The Agency shall review such designs, plans and specifications to ensure that they conform to and are consistent with the Redevelopment Requirements.

A. DEFINITIONS

“Alice Griffith DDA” is defined in the Below-Market Rate Housing Plan.

“Applicable City Regulations” is defined in the DDA, which definition is, as of the Reference Date, “is defined in the applicable Redevelopment Plan.”

“Application” means, individually or collectively as the context requires, a Major Phase Application, Sub-Phase Application or Vertical Application.

“Artist Relocation Plan” is defined in the Community Benefits Plan.

“Charter” means the charter of the City.

“Complete Application” means, with respect to an Application, the submission of all documents and materials in such detail as is required under the DDA and this DRDAP for such Application.

“Construction Documents” is defined in Section VII.D.

“Construction Documents Application” means an application for Approval of the Construction Documents submitted in accordance with this DRDAP.

“DBI” means the City’s Department of Building Inspection, or any successor public agency designated by or under law.

“DDA” is defined in that certain Disposition and Development Agreement (Candlestick Point and Phase 2 of the Hunters Point Shipyard) to which this DRDAP is attached.

“Design Development Documents” is defined in Section VII.C.
“Design Development Documents Application” means an application for Approval of the Design Development Documents submitted in accordance with this DRDAP.

“Design Document” means, individually or collectively as the context requires, Schematic Design Documents, Design Development Documents and/or Construction Documents.

“Director of Public Works” means the Director of the Department of Public Works, or his or her designee.

“Interagency Cooperation Agreement” is defined in the DDA, which definition is, as of the Reference Date, “means that certain Interagency Cooperation Agreement (Candlestick Point and Phase 2 of the Hunters Point Shipyard) entered into in connection with the Project between the Agency and the City and attached hereto as Attachment 1, as amended from time to time.”

“Planning Department” means the Planning Department of the City, or any successor public agency designated by or under law.

“Planning Commission” means the Commission of the Planning Department, or any successor governing body of the Planning Department designated by or under law.

“Redevelopment Documents” is defined in the DDA, which definition is, as of the Reference Date, “means: (i) with respect to the Shipyard Site: (a) the Shipyard Redevelopment Plan; (b) the Shipyard Design for Development; and (c) the Shipyard Plan Documents and (ii) with respect to the Candlestick Site: (a) the BVHP Redevelopment Plan; (b) the Candlestick Design for Development; and (c) the BVHP Plan Documents.”

“Redevelopment Requirements” is defined in the DDA, which definition is, as of the Reference Date, “means (i) the applicable Redevelopment Documents, (ii) this DDA, (iii) documents Approved under the DRDAP and (iv) applicable provisions of the CCRL.”

“Schematic Design Documents” is defined in Section VII.B.

“Schematic Design Documents Application” means an application for Approval of the Schematic Design Documents submitted in accordance with this DRDAP.

“Site Permit” means a permit required to be issued by DBI pursuant to the Applicable City Regulations before construction of a particular Improvement.

“Vertical Application” means, individually or collectively as the context requires, the Schematic Design Documents Application, Design Development Application, or Construction Documents Application applicable to a Vertical Project.

“Vertical Approval” means that the Schematic Design Documents Application, Design Development Application, or Construction Documents Application applicable to a Vertical Project have each been Approved in accordance with the terms of this DRDAP, as the same may be amended from time to time in accordance with the terms of this DRDAP.
B. REVIEW PROCESS

1. Priority Project

The development of the Project is a priority to the City and the Agency. Accordingly, the Agency shall review all Applications as expeditiously as reasonably possible and use commercially reasonable efforts to enforce the applicable provisions of the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and the Planning Cooperation Agreement in accordance with their respective terms. In addition, the Agency shall provide Developer and Vertical Developers with multiple opportunities to meet and confer with Agency staff before Applications are due.

2. Developer, Agency and City Roles in the DRDAP Process

To the extent required under the DDA, Developer and shall submit all Major Phase Applications and Sub-Phase Applications, and Vertical Developers shall submit all Vertical Applications, to the Agency as set forth in this DRDAP. The Agency shall review all Applications and submittals for completeness and consistency with the Redevelopment Requirements as set forth in this DRDAP. The Agency shall submit Complete Major Phase Applications, Complete Sub-Phase Applications, and Complete Vertical Applications to applicable City Agencies for review and comment. The City Agencies will review submittals made to them pursuant to this DRDAP for consistency with the Applicable City Regulations, and shall provide any comments on all Applications within the time required by the Interagency Cooperation Agreement. A City Agency’s failure to review and comment on submittals within the time frames set forth in this DRDAP shall not, by itself, be the basis for Excusable Delay. But such a failure that (i) results in a delay of an Agency action beyond the time frame permitted for the Agency action under this DRDAP, or (ii) results in a delay of a City action beyond the permitted time set forth in the Interagency Cooperation Agreement when the City is issuing a final Approval (i.e., when there is no subsequent Agency action on such matter), shall be the basis for Excusable Delay.

The Parties understand and agree that the Applications will include copious and detailed information, and the turnaround time for Agency and City staff will depend in part upon the amount of new information included in an Application that has not yet been seen by the Agency and the City at the time of Application submittal and the quality of the submittal. Accordingly, Developer or Vertical Developer, as applicable, shall submit information and materials, and schedule meetings with the Agency staff, for consultation and input in the formulation of Application materials in advance of the required submission of Applications as set forth below. The Agency shall make staff available for such requested meetings and consultation. The Parties understand and agree that input of the Agency staff throughout the design and development process will likely result in an expedited approval process and increased efficiencies.

Whenever Approval or any other action is required by the Agency Commission, the Agency Director shall upon the request of Developer or a Vertical Developer, following the periods to meet and confer and to provide final comments described in this DRDAP, submit such matter to the Agency Commission at the next regularly-scheduled meeting of the Agency Commission for which an agenda has not yet been finalized and for which the Agency can prepare and submit a staff report in keeping with Agency standard practices.
With regard to any public hearings and presentations relating to the Project, Developer and Vertical Developers shall cooperate with, prepare materials for, and participate in presentations to the Agency Commission, the Arts Commission and to the CAC or the PAC, as applicable.

3. Arts Commission Design Review

Although the Agency has general land use authority over the entire Project Site, Developer shall submit certain Design Documents to the Arts Commission for review and comment as and to the extent required by Charter section 5.103 (for property owned by the City). Such review will be in accordance with the Civic Design Review Guidelines adopted by the Arts Commission. Developer and Vertical Developer, as applicable, shall meet and confer with Agency staff on all submissions to the Arts Commission before making each such submission to the Arts Commission, and shall seek design comments from the Arts Commission not less than ninety (90) days before submittal of the applicable Design Documents to the Agency. Developer and Vertical Developers, as applicable, and the Agency shall encourage the Arts Commission to process design comment requests referred to it by Developer or Vertical Developer expeditiously. Failure of the Arts Commission to complete its comments within a specified time period shall not waive the obligation to obtain design comments and approval from the Arts Commission before the Agency acts on an Application that is subject to review by the Arts Commission; provided however, failure to receive comments from Arts Commission staff within ninety (90) days following submittal shall be a basis for Excusable Delay. The Parties acknowledge that, in any case, the Arts Commission may for any reason require hearings on Developer’s Streetscape Plan and Design Documents for Improvements to be dedicated to the City, and it shall be Developer’s (or the applicable Vertical Developer’s) responsibility to factor in ninety (90) days for the Art Commission review and approval process in satisfying the Outside Dates set forth in the Schedule of Performance. The Agency agrees to work with the Arts Commission to develop a standard procedure and timeline for securing design comments from the Arts Commission on the Streetscape Plan and the Design Documents described in this Section I.B.3.

4. Planning Department and Planning Commission Review

The Planning Department shall provide staff to assist the Agency with design review of Major Phase Applications and Schematic Design Documents Applications and provide to the Agency timely comments to such submittals in accordance with the Planning Cooperation Agreement. The Agency shall deliver to the Planning Department each Major Phase Application and Schematic Design Documents Application within three (3) days after the Agency determines that the Application is a Complete Application. As set forth in the Planning Cooperation Agreement, the Planning Commission shall review and Approve the design of specific office developments on Commercial Lots containing office development that is subject to Planning Code sections 320-325 pursuant to the Redevelopment Plans. The Agency, Developer and Vertical Developers, as applicable, shall work collaboratively with the Planning Department to ensure that design issues are discussed as early in the review process as possible and that the Agency and the Planning Commission act consistently with respect to the design of specific office developments on the Commercial Lots.
5. **CAC and PAC Comment on Document Submittals**

At the direction of the Agency Director, Developer and Vertical Developers, as applicable, shall provide the CAC or the PAC or their respective designee(s), as applicable, with updates on the document submittal review process set forth in this DRDAP and shall submit the following for review and consideration by the CAC or the PAC before any action is taken by the Agency Director or the Agency Commission: (i) the Streetscape and Signage Plans, (ii) Complete Major Phase Applications and (iii) Complete Schematic Design Documents Applications for Vertical Improvements and for Open Space Lots. Developer and Vertical Developers, as applicable, shall provide the CAC and the PAC, as applicable, with a summary description of such document submittals and such number of copy sets of such Applications as are reasonably requested by the Agency.

6. **Subdivision Map Review**

The review and Approval of Applications pursuant to this DRDAP are in addition to and do not waive the requirements for approval of Tentative and Final Vesting Transfer Maps, Tentative and Final Vesting Subdivision Maps, Tentative and Final Subdivision Maps, and Parcel Maps by the City under the Subdivision Map Act, any of its implementing regulations and the CP/HPS Subdivision Code. The City’s consideration and Approval or disapproval of Developer’s applications for such maps shall be done in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and the Planning Cooperation Agreement.

Developer, on behalf of the Agency, may submit a request for Approval of and, if Approved, may record a Final Transfer Map or a Final Vesting Transfer Map before a Major Phase Approval is given by the Agency Commission. Developer, on behalf of the Agency or itself, may submit an application for a Tentative Subdivision Map or a Vesting Tentative Subdivision Map relating to the initial Sub-Phase within a Major Phase at the same time it submits the Sub-Phase Application and before a Major Phase Approval, but the Department of Public Work’s time for determining that such map application is complete and the Agency’s time for reviewing and for providing comments and acting on the application shall not commence until there has been a Major Phase Approval given for the property located within such map.

7. **Temporary and Interim Uses**

The Agency staff shall review applications for temporary and interim uses as set forth in the applicable Redevelopment Plan.

8. **Schedule of Performance**

In meeting its obligations under the Schedule of Performance, Developer shall take into account the process and timing of submittals to the City Agencies, the CAC and the PAC, the Agency Commission, and other Governmental Entities, consistent with this DRDAP and the Interagency Cooperation Agreement. The Agency may agree to an extension as part of any Approval or as a separate action.
9. Deviations from Redevelopment Requirements and Previous Approvals

In connection with any Major Phase Application, Sub-Phase Application, or Vertical Application, Developer or Vertical Developer may request a variance from the applicable Redevelopment Requirements. In connection with any Major Phase Application or Sub-Phase Application, Developer may request a deviation from the applicable Redevelopment Requirements, including any Approval previously given under this DRDAP.

A request for a variance pursuant to the Redevelopment Plans or the Design for Development shall be reviewed and considered by the Agency pursuant to the standards and requirements of the applicable Redevelopment Plan or Design for Development. Developer or Vertical Developer shall include in any Application a clear statement of any proposed variance, including a statement to indicate that the Application includes a proposed variance and a statement of the reasons for the requested variance.

Non-material deviations (as reasonably determined by the Agency Director) from the applicable Redevelopment Requirements, including from any Approval previously given under this DRDAP, may be given by the Agency Director in his reasonable discretion. Material deviations from the Redevelopment Requirements, including from any Approval previously given under this DRDAP, may be Approved by either the Agency Director or the Agency Commission, as appropriate, in accordance with the procedures and Approval standards associated with the original Redevelopment Requirement or prior Approval from which the deviation is sought.

Developer shall include in any Application a clear statement of any proposed deviation from the Redevelopment Requirements, including from any applicable prior Approval, including a statement to indicate that the Application includes a proposed deviation request and a statement of the reasons for the requested deviation. Developer and Vertical Developers shall allow sixty (60) days of added time for review and consideration of the proposed deviation by the Agency Commission, the CAC or the PAC, and the City Agencies, and such added time shall not be Excusable Delay; provided, it shall be reasonable for the Agency to deny the requested deviation if the Agency reasonably determines that, based upon the scope and substance of the proposed deviation, sixty (60) days is not sufficient time for review and consideration and the Developer does not agree to extend the review and action time as requested by the Agency.

10. Consistency with Redevelopment Requirements and Previous Approvals

Unless otherwise Approved by Developer or Vertical Developer, as applicable, in their respective sole and absolute discretion, and subject to the provisions of the DDA, Interagency Cooperation Agreement, Planning Cooperation Agreement, Redevelopment Plans, and other Plan Documents, the Agency will not disapprove any Major Phase Application, Sub-Phase Application, or Vertical Application on the basis of any element that conforms to and is consistent with the Redevelopment Requirements and prior applicable Approvals by the Agency.
11. Other Governmental Entity Approvals

Nothing contained in this DRDAP is intended to eliminate or alter the process or approval requirements set forth under applicable provisions of State or federal law or the regulations of other Governmental Entities, as applicable, with respect to any development at the Project Site. The Parties acknowledge and agree that (i) as set forth in the Interagency Cooperation Agreement, the Agency’s Approval of certain modifications to the Infrastructure Plan, the Parks and Open Space Plan, this DRDAP, the Mitigation Measures and the Below-Market Rate Housing Plan are subject to the review and Approval of the City in accordance with the standards set forth in the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and (ii) as set forth in the Tax Allocation Agreement, the Agency’s Approval of certain modifications to the Infrastructure Plan and the Below-Market Rate Housing Plan are subject to the review and Approval of the City in accordance with the standards set forth in the Tax Allocation Agreement.

II. SUMMATION OF DOCUMENT SUBMITTALS

Submissions shall consist of the following components or stages, the requirements for which are set forth below:

1. Streetscape Plan;
2. Signage Plan;
3. Major Phase Applications;
4. Sub-Phase Applications; and
5. Vertical Applications, which shall be submitted in three stages:
   - Schematic Design Documents Applications;
   - Design Development Documents Applications; and
   - Construction Documents Applications.

III. STREETSCAPE AND SIGNAGE PLAN APPROVALS

The Streetscape Plan, as described in Exhibit E-B to this DRDAP, consisting of two separate streetscape plans – one for the Shipyard Site and one for the Candlestick Site – shall be submitted to the Agency not less than ninety (90) days before the submittal of the first Major Phase Application (the “Streetscape Submittal Date”). Alternatively, Developer may elect to submit the Streetscape Plan after the Streetscape Submittal Date but in no event later than the date of submittal of its first Major Phase Application, in which case the Agency’s time for determination that such Major Phase Application is a Complete Application shall be automatically extended by the number of days from the Streetscape Submittal Date to the date that Developer submits the Streetscape Plan.
The Parties intend that the Streetscape Plan will relate to the Shipyard Site and the Candlestick Site, respectively, and create integration and conformity of the streetscapes as described in the Streetscape Plan.

Not less than thirty (30) days before submitting a Streetscape Plan, Developer shall submit to the Agency Director preliminary maps, plans, and material cut sheets of the type listed in Exhibit E-B. Not less than twenty (20) days before submitting a Streetscape Plan, Developer and the Agency staff shall hold at least one pre-submission conference at a mutually agreeable time, with appropriate City Agencies. Developer may submit information and materials iteratively, and Developer and the Agency may agree to hold such additional meetings and conferences as they may deem useful or appropriate. If Developer fails to submit such preliminary documents or to schedule such pre-submission conference before submitting a Streetscape Plan as specified above, then such failure shall not, by itself, constitute an Event of Default and instead the Agency’s time for review of the Streetscape Plan shall be extended by thirty (30) days.

The Signage Plan, as described in Exhibit E-B, consisting of two separate signage plans – one for the Shipyard Site and one for the Candlestick Site – shall be submitted to the Agency not less than ninety (90) days before the submittal of the first Sub-Phase Application (the “Signage Submittal Date”). Alternatively, Developer may elect to submit the Signage Plan after the Signage Submittal Date but in no event later than the date of submittal of the first Sub-Phase Application, in which case the Agency’s time for determination that such Sub-Phase Application is a Complete Application shall be automatically extended by the number of days from the Signage Submittal Date to the date that Developer submits the Signage Plan.

The Parties intend that the Signage Plan will relate to the Shipyard Site and the Candlestick Site, respectively, and create integration and conformity of the building signage as described in the Signage Plan.

The Agency Commission shall review and Approve or disapprove the Streetscape Plan and the Signage Plan after consultation with the CAC or the PAC as applicable. The Agency staff shall complete its review and consideration on the Streetscape Plan and the Signage Plan within ninety (90) days after Developer’s submittal of such Streetscape Plan and Signage Plan. The Agency staff may propose changes to the Streetscape Plan and the Signage Plan that do not conflict with the Redevelopment Requirements. If the Agency staff proposes any such changes, then the Agency and Developer shall promptly meet and confer in good faith for a period of not more than forty-five (45) days, as such period may be extended by mutual agreement, to reach agreement on any such changes proposed by the Agency; provided such meet and confer period shall run concurrently with, and shall not extend, the ninety (90) day period specified above unless agreed to by Developer and Agency staff.

Developer shall have the right at any time after the ninety (90) day period specified above has run to require that the Agency Director submit the Streetscape Plan or the Signage Plan, as applicable, to the PAC or the CAC, as applicable, and then to the Agency Commission for review and consideration, with or without Agency staff recommendation. The Streetscape Plan must be Approved by the Agency Commission on or before the first Major Phase Approval, and
the Signage Plan must be Approved by the Agency Commission on or before the first Sub-Phase Approval.

IV. MAJOR PHASE APPROVALS

Developer shall submit, and the Agency Commission shall review and Approve or disapprove, Major Phase Applications as set forth in the DDA and this Section IV. The purpose of a Major Phase Approval is for the Agency to confirm that the Major Phase Application conforms to and is consistent with the applicable Redevelopment Requirements, and for Developer to obtain Approval by the Agency of the additional detailed information included in a Major Phase Application that has not been previously reviewed or Approved by the Agency, before Developer may proceed with development within that Major Phase.

A. APPLICATION PROCESS

1. Pre-Submission Conference

Not less than thirty (30) days before submitting a Major Phase Application, Developer shall submit to the Agency Director preliminary maps, plans, and design sketches of the type listed for Major Phase Applications in Exhibit E-A, and any other data as Developer shall so desire concerning the Major Phase. Not less than twenty (20) days before submitting a Major Phase Application, Developer and the Agency staff shall hold at least one pre-submission conference at a mutually agreeable time. Developer may submit information and materials iteratively, and Developer and the Agency may agree to hold such additional meetings and conferences as they may deem useful or appropriate. If Developer fails to submit such preliminary documents or to schedule such pre-submission conference before submitting a Major Phase Application as specified above, then such failure shall not, by itself, constitute an Event of Default and instead the Agency’s time for review of the Application in order to determine that such Application is a Complete Application shall be extended by thirty (30) days. Any such extension shall not be the basis for Excusable Delay.

2. Submission

Subject to the terms of the DDA, Developer shall submit each Complete Major Phase Application to the Agency on or before the Outside Date for such Major Phase Application. Unless otherwise Approved by Developer and the Agency Director, all Major Phase Applications shall include all of the documents and materials described for Major Phase Applications in Exhibit E-A.

In addition, unless otherwise Approved by Developer and the Agency Director: (a) the Major Phase Application for the Initial Major Phase shall include the proposed Artist Relocation Plan; and (b) the Major Phase Application for Major Phase 2 in the Stadium Alternative and Major Phase 3 in the Non-Stadium Alternative shall include Developer’s preferred tower placement on the Candlestick Site among the alternatives included in the Candlestick Design for Development, and (c) the Major Phase Application for Major Phase 2 in the Stadium and Non-Stadium Alternatives shall include materials and submittals relating to the potential preservation of the Historic Structures in accordance with section 18.2 of the DDA.
B. REVIEW BY THE AGENCY AND CITY AGENCIES

1. Agency Review - Initial

The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Major Phase Application that is submitted for conformance with the requirements of the DDA, including this DRDAP. Within fifteen (15) days following receipt of a Major Phase Application, the Agency staff shall notify Developer of any deficiencies and make any requests for additional information or materials that are reasonably necessary in order to process the Major Phase Application under this DRDAP and are consistent with the type of documents listed in Exhibit E-A for Major Phase Applications. Developer shall promptly correct any such deficiencies and provide any such requested information and materials. The Agency Director shall make a determination of whether a Major Phase Application is a Complete Application no later than twenty (20) days following receipt of such Major Phase Application or, if applicable, no later than fifteen (15) days following receipt of any additional information and materials requested under this Section IV.B.1, and notify Developer of the same. A Major Phase Application shall not be deemed a Complete Application for purposes of the review periods set forth below until the Agency Director notifies Developer that it is a Complete Application, and the review periods shall commence on the date of such notification.

2. City Agency Review - Complete Major Phase Application

Within three (3) days of the Agency’s determination that a Major Phase Application is a Complete Application, the Agency staff shall submit such Complete Major Phase Application, or applicable portions thereof, to applicable City Agencies. The City Agencies will review submittals made to them for consistency with the Applicable City Regulations. Each City Agency will provide any comments on the submittal to the Agency within thirty (30) days from the City Agency’s receipt of the submittal, subject to any longer period set forth in the Interagency Cooperation Agreement or the Planning Cooperation Agreement if applicable. Consistent with the Agency’s responsibilities under the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and the Planning Cooperation Agreement, the Agency shall use commercially reasonable efforts to cause each applicable City Agency to complete its review of each Complete Major Phase Application, or applicable portions thereof, within such time.

3. Agency Review - Complete Major Phase Application

The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Complete Major Phase Application and shall notify Developer of the Agency staff’s comments and comments by applicable City Agencies and other Governmental Entities and community organizations consulted by the Agency. The Agency staff shall provide final comments on each Complete Major Phase Application within eighty (80) days following the Agency’s determination that the Major Phase Application is a Complete Application.

The Agency staff may propose changes to the Complete Major Phase Application that do not conflict with the Redevelopment Requirements. If the Agency proposes any such changes, then the Agency and Developer shall promptly meet and confer in good faith for a period of not more than forty-five (45) days, as such period may be extended by mutual agreement, to reach
agreement on any such changes proposed by the Agency; provided such meet and confer period shall run concurrently with, and shall not extend, the eighty (80) day period specified above unless agreed to by Developer and Agency staff.

Developer shall have the right at any time after the eighty (80) day period above has run to require that the Agency Director submit the Complete Major Phase Application to the PAC or the CAC, as applicable, and then to the Agency Commission for review and consideration, with or without Agency staff recommendation.

At the close of the periods described above in this Section IV.B.3, the Agency Director shall submit the Complete Major Phase Application to the CAC or the PAC, as applicable, and then to the Agency Commission for review and consideration at the next regularly-scheduled meeting of the Agency Commission for which an agenda has not yet been finalized and for which the Agency can prepare and submit a staff report in keeping with standard practices of the Agency. The Agency Commission shall take action on each Complete Major Phase Application in accordance with the standards in Section IV.B.4 within thirty (30) days after such Complete Major Phase Application is introduced at a public meeting of the Agency Commission for review and consideration, unless Developer in its sole discretion Approves an extension of such period. Failure of the Agency Director to submit the Complete Major Phase Application to the CAC or the PAC, as applicable, and then to Agency Commission, and the failure of the Agency Commission to act, within the time frames specified above shall each be a basis for Excusable Delay.

4. Agency Review - Approval Standard

All Major Phase Applications shall be reviewed and considered by the Agency Commission, and shall be Approved by the Agency Commission, in its reasonable discretion, if and to the extent the Major Phase Application (i) conforms to and is consistent with the applicable Redevelopment Requirements and, if applicable, the Land Acquisition Agreements and the Alice Griffith DDA and (ii) as to matters or details that are beyond the scope of the foregoing, is reasonably acceptable to the Agency Commission.

If a Major Phase Application is disapproved by the Agency Commission, then the Agency Commissioners shall, at the public hearing during which the Major Phase Application is being considered, state the basis for the disapproval, which basis shall be summarized in writing by the Agency Director, to the best of his or her knowledge, after the hearing and delivered to Developer. Following any disapproval of a Major Phase Application, Developer may within ninety (90) days following receipt by Developer of such summary (subject to such extensions as may be Approved by the Agency Director) make changes to and resubmit the Major Phase Application. Promptly following the Agency Director’s receipt of a revised Complete Major Phase Application, the Agency Director shall submit such revised Complete Major Phase Application in accordance with the procedure set forth in this Section IV.B. The Schedule of Performance shall be automatically extended, if necessary, to allow for the foregoing procedure; provided, however, that the Schedule of Performance shall not be so extended for more than one revised Complete Major Phase Application for each Major Phase without the Approval of the Agency Director.
5. Amendments to Major Phase Approvals

Developer may apply to the Agency for an amendment to a Major Phase Approval in accordance with the standards and procedures for a Major Phase Application. All proposed amendments shall be subject to review and consideration by the Agency Director, unless the Agency Director determines that the proposed amendment is material, in which case the Agency Director shall submit the proposed amendment to the CAC or the PAC, as applicable, and then to Agency Commission. The Agency Commission shall take action on the proposed amendment in accordance with the standards and procedures set forth in Section IV.B.4. Without limiting the foregoing, the Approval of the Agency Commission shall be required for proposed amendments that: (i) materially amend the Infrastructure Plan; (ii) materially extend the Outside Dates for Sub-Phase Applications for one or more Sub-Phases within the Major Phase; (iii) increase the number of Sub-Phases within the Major Phase; (iv) materially extend the time for delivery of the Agency Lots within the Major Phase; (v) materially delay the Completion of or otherwise reduce the Associated Public Benefits applicable to one (1) or more Sub-Phases; or (vi) materially extend the time for delivery of the Alice Griffith Replacement Units. Extensions of time to which Developer is entitled under the DDA or the Alice Griffith DDA shall not be considered an amendment subject to the provisions of this Section IV.B.5.

V. SUB-PHASE APPROVALS

Following a Major Phase Approval, Developer shall submit, and the Agency Director shall review and Approve or disapprove, Sub-Phase Applications as set forth in the DDA and this Section V. Developer may seek the first Sub-Phase Approval concurrently with a Major Phase Approval for the Major Phase in which the Sub-Phase is located. The purpose of a Sub-Phase Approval is for the Agency to confirm that the Sub-Phase Application conforms to and is consistent with the applicable Redevelopment Requirements and for Developer to obtain Approval by the Agency of the additional detailed information included in a Sub-Phase Application that has not been previously reviewed or Approved by the Agency, before Developer may proceed with development within that Sub-Phase.

A. APPLICATION PROCESS

1. Pre-Submission Conference

Not less than thirty (30) days before submitting a Sub-Phase Application, Developer shall submit to the Agency Director preliminary maps, plans, and design sketches of the type listed for Sub-Phase Applications in Exhibit E-A, and any other data as Developer shall so desire concerning the Sub-Phase. Not less than twenty (20) days before submitting a Sub-Phase Application, Developer and the Agency staff shall hold at least one pre-submission conference at a mutually agreeable time. Developer may submit information and materials iteratively, and Developer and the Agency may agree to hold such additional meetings and conferences as they may deem useful or appropriate. If Developer fails to submit such preliminary documents or to schedule such pre-submission conference before submitting a Sub-Phase Application as specified above, then such failure shall, by itself, not constitute an Event of Default and instead the Agency’s time for review of the Application in order to determine that such Application is a
Complete Application shall be extended by thirty (30) days. Any such extension shall not be the basis for Excusable Delay.

2. Submission

Subject to the terms of the DDA, Developer shall submit each Complete Sub-Phase Application to the Agency on or before the Outside Date for such Sub-Phase Application. Unless otherwise Approved by Developer and the Agency Director, Sub-Phase Applications shall include all of the documents and materials described for Sub-Phase Applications in Exhibit E-A.

B. REVIEW BY THE AGENCY AND CITY AGENCIES

1. Agency Review - Initial

The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Sub-Phase Application using the same procedures described for Major Phase Applications in Section IV.B.1. A Sub-Phase Application shall not be deemed a Complete Application for purposes of the review periods set forth below until the Agency Director notifies Developer that it is a Complete Application, and the review periods shall commence on the date of such notification.

2. City Agency Review - Complete Sub-Phase Application

Within three (3) days of the Agency’s determination that a Sub-Phase Application is a Complete Application, the Agency staff shall submit such Complete Sub-Phase Application, or applicable portions thereof, to applicable City Agencies. The City Agencies will review submittals made to them for consistency with the Applicable City Regulations. Each City Agency will provide any comments on the submittal to the Agency within thirty (30) days from the City Agency’s receipt of the submittal, subject to any longer period set forth in the Interagency Cooperation Agreement or the Planning Cooperation Agreement if applicable. Consistent with the Agency’s responsibilities under the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and the Planning Cooperation Agreement, the Agency shall use commercially reasonable efforts to cause each applicable City Agency to complete its review of each Complete Sub-Phase Application, or applicable portions thereof, within such time.

3. Agency Review - Complete Sub-Phase Application

The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Complete Sub-Phase Application and shall notify Developer of the Agency staff’s comments and comments by applicable City Agencies and other Governmental Entities and community organizations consulted by the Agency. The Agency staff shall provide final comments on each Complete Sub-Phase Application within eighty (80) days following the Agency’s determination that the Sub-Phase Application is a Complete Application, provided, that if one or more Schematic Design Documents Applications are submitted with the Sub-Phase Application, then an additional thirty (30) days shall be added for the first Schematic Design Documents Application and an additional twenty-one (21) days will be added for each additional Schematic Design Documents Application.
Schematic Design Documents Applications shall be reviewed and processed as set forth in Section VII.B, including referral to the CAC or the PAC as applicable and then to the Agency Commission.

The Agency staff may propose changes to the Complete Sub-Phase Application that do not conflict with the Redevelopment Requirements. If the Agency proposes any such changes, then the Agency and Developer shall promptly meet and confer in good faith for a period of not more than forty-five (45) days, as such period may be extended by mutual agreement, to reach agreement on any such changes proposed by the Agency; provided such meet and confer period shall run concurrently with, and shall not extend, the eighty (80) day period specified above (as extended if Schematic Design Documents Applications are submitted simultaneously) unless agreed to by Developer and Agency staff.

Developer shall have the right at any time after the eighty (80) day period above has run to require that the Agency staff submit the Complete Sub-Phase Application to the Agency Director for review and consideration, with or without Agency staff recommendation.

At the close of the periods described above in this Section V.B.3, the Agency staff shall submit the Complete Sub-Phase Application to the Agency Director for review and consideration, and notify Developer of such submission. The Agency Director shall take action on each Complete Sub-Phase Application in accordance with the standards in Section V.B.4 within thirty (30) days after such Complete Sub-Phase Application is submitted to the Agency Director for review and consideration, unless Developer in its sole discretion Approves an extension of such period. Failure of Agency staff to submit the Complete Sub-Phase Application to the Agency Director, or for the Agency Director to act on the Complete Sub-Phase Application, within the time frames specified above shall be a basis for Excusable Delay.

4. Agency Review - Approval Standard

All Sub-Phase Applications shall be reviewed and considered by the Agency Director, and shall be Approved if and to the extent the Sub-Phase Application (i) conforms to and is consistent with the Redevelopment Requirements and, if applicable, the Land Acquisition Agreements and the Alice Griffith DDA and (ii) as to matters or details that are beyond the scope of the foregoing, is reasonably acceptable to the Agency Director.

Without limiting any Approvals required (or the standards for such Approvals) under the Interagency Cooperation Agreement, the Planning Cooperation Agreement or under Section I.B.9, the Approval of the Agency Commission shall be required for Sub-Phase Applications that include changes to the Redevelopment Requirements that materially affect: (i) the area of a Sub-Phase; (ii) the timing or substance of the Associated Public Benefits as shown in the Phasing Plan or other public benefits described in the Below-Market Rate Housing Plans or the Community Benefits Plan; (iii) the timing the Completion of the Agency Affordable Lots or the Alice Griffith Replacement Lots; and (iv) the Infrastructure to be Completed within the Sub-Phase. Additionally, any requested variance approval must be made by the Agency Commission as required by the applicable Redevelopment Plan.
If a Sub-Phase Application is disapproved by the Agency Director, then the Agency Director shall send a notice to Developer stating the basis for the disapproval. Following any disapproval of a Sub-Phase Application, Developer may within ninety (90) days following receipt by Developer of such summary (subject to such extensions as may be Approved by the Agency Director) make changes to and resubmit the Sub-Phase Application. Promptly following the Agency Director’s receipt of a revised Complete Sub-Phase Application, the Agency Director shall review and consider the Sub-Phase Application in accordance with the procedure set forth in this Section V.B.4. The Schedule of Performance shall be automatically extended, if necessary, to allow for the foregoing procedure; provided, however, that the Schedule of Performance shall not be so extended for more than one revised Complete Sub-Phase Application for each Sub-Phase without the Approval of the Agency Director.

5. Amendments to Sub-Phase Approvals

Developer may apply to the Agency for an amendment to a Sub-Phase Approval in accordance with the standards and procedures for a Sub-Phase Application. All proposed amendments shall be subject to review and consideration by the Agency Director and the Agency Commission in the manner and under the approval standards established for Sub-Phase Applications, as set forth in Section V.B.4, provided that the following proposed amendments shall, without limitation, require the Approval of the Agency Commission in its sole discretion: (i) amendments that materially alter the matters Approved by the Agency Commission as part of the applicable Major Phase Approval; (ii) material amendments to the Infrastructure Plan or the Parks and Open Space Plan; (iii) material extensions of the Schedule of Performance for Completion of the Infrastructure or the Agency Lots within that Sub-Phase; (iv) amendments to the Redevelopment Plans or the Design for Development; (v) material extensions to the time for delivery of the Alice Griffith Replacement Units; or (vi) material amendments to the timing or substance of the Associated Public Benefits within the Sub-Phase. Extensions of time to which Developer is entitled under the DDA or the Alice Griffith DDA shall not be considered an amendment subject to the provisions of this Section V.B.5.

VI. CLOSE OF ESCROW

In accordance with article 10 of the DDA, before the close of Escrow on any property to be conveyed by the Agency to Developer under the DDA, Developer shall notify the Agency of the satisfaction of all conditions to the close of Escrow. Developer shall take into account the review and approval periods and process under this DRDAP, the Interagency Cooperation Agreement, and the Planning Cooperation Agreement, including times for design review presentations to the Agency Commission, the Arts Commission, and the CAC and the PAC, if applicable, as may be needed to meet the Outside Dates set forth in the DDA.

VII. VERTICAL APPROVALS

Developer shall be entitled to seek Approval of Vertical Applications on behalf of future Vertical Developers, whether such Vertical Developers have been identified or not and whether or not Developer or its Affiliates ultimately serve as such Vertical Developer. In such cases, references in this Section VII to “Vertical Developer” shall include Developer.
A. APPLICATION PROCESS

Following a Sub-Phase Approval, Vertical Developers (including Developer or its Affiliates when acting as a Vertical Developer or when building Infrastructure subject to this Section VII as described below) may seek Approval of Vertical Improvements within that Sub-Phase.

Vertical Application submissions shall consist of the following three components, to be submitted in the following order: (i) Schematic Design Documents, (ii) Design Development Documents and (iii) Construction Documents. Developer or, with the Approval of Developer in its sole discretion, Vertical Developer, may submit Schematic Design Documents for Vertical Improvements for one Lot concurrently with, or at any time following, an applicable Sub-Phase Application. No Vertical Approval shall be given by the Agency until after the Sub-Phase Approval for the Sub-Phase in which the Lot is located. Whether document submittals meet the applicable percentage of completion requirement described in this DRDAP shall be determined in the reasonable discretion of the Agency Director.

Schematic Design Documents Applications shall be Approved or disapproved by the Agency Commission, while Design Development Documents Applications and Construction Documents Applications shall be Approved or disapproved by the Agency Director (unless the Application includes substantial deviations from the Schematic Design Approval, which shall require Approval by the Agency Commission). Thus, where a Schematic Design Documents Application is submitted before Approval of the Sub-Phase Application to which it relates, the Agency shall process the Applications simultaneously but under the separate approval processes described in this DRDAP. The Agency’s time for determining that a Schematic Design Documents Application is a Complete Application, and then for reviewing and providing comments on Schematic Design Documents Application pursuant to this DRDAP, shall not commence until the Sub-Phase Application for the area in which the Schematic Design Documents Application is located has been Approved.

Before or concurrently with granting Approval of the Construction Documents Application for a Stand-Alone Workforce Project or an Alice Griffith Replacement Project, the Agency shall have Approved the applicable Outside Dates for the Commencement and Completion of the Stand-Alone Workforce Project or Alice Griffith Replacement Project, as applicable. Such Outside Dates shall, upon determination, be included in the Schedule of Performance.

1. Pre-Submission Conference

Not less than thirty (30) days before submitting a Vertical Application, Vertical Developer shall submit to the Agency Director preliminary maps, plans, and design sketches of the type listed for Vertical Applications in Exhibit E-A, and any other data as Vertical Developer shall so desire concerning the applicable Lot. Not less than fifteen (15) days before submitting a Vertical Application, Vertical Developer and the Agency staff shall hold at least one pre-submission conference at a mutually agreeable time. Vertical Developer may submit information and materials iteratively, and Vertical Developer and the Agency may agree to hold such additional meetings and conferences as they may deem useful or appropriate. If Vertical
Developer fails to submit such preliminary documents or to schedule such pre-submission conference before submitting a Vertical Application as specified above, then such failure shall not, by itself, constitute an Event of Default and instead the Agency’s time for review of the Application in order to determine that such Application is a Complete Application shall be extended by twenty (20) days (e.g., if a Vertical Application is submitted before submitting such preliminary documents or scheduling such a pre-submission conference, then the Agency’s time to determine that such Application is a Complete Application shall be extended from ten (10) days to thirty (30) days). Any such extension shall not be the basis for Excusable Delay.

2. Submission

Subject to the terms of the DDA, Vertical Developer shall submit each Vertical Application for the Alice Griffith Replacement Projects and the Stand-Alone Workforce Projects on or before the dates needed to satisfy the applicable Outside Dates for the Commencement and Completion of the Alice Griffith Replacement Projects and the Stand-Alone Workforce Projects.

B. REVIEW OF SCHEMATIC DESIGN DOCUMENTS

“Schematic Design Documents” refer to schematic design level of detail for a specific Improvement, building upon the massing and design concepts outlined in the Major Phase Approval and Sub-Phase Approval and, unless otherwise Approved by Vertical Developer and the Agency Director, each in their sole discretion, shall include the documents and materials described for Schematic Design Documents Applications in Exhibit E-A.

1. Agency Review - Initial

The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Schematic Design Documents Application using the same procedures described for Major Phase Applications in Section IV.B.1. A Schematic Design Documents Application shall not be deemed a Complete Application for purposes of the review periods set forth below until the Agency Director notifies Developer that it is a Complete Application, and the review periods shall commence on the date of such notification.

2. City Agency Review - Complete Schematic Design Documents Application

Within three (3) days of the Agency’s determination that a Schematic Design Documents Application is a Complete Application, the Agency staff shall submit such Complete Schematic Design Documents Application, or applicable portions thereof, to applicable City Agencies. The City Agencies will review submittals made to them for consistency with the Applicable City Regulations. Each City Agency will provide any comments on the submittal to the Agency thirty (30) days from the City Agency’s receipt of the submittal, subject to any longer period set forth in the Interagency Cooperation Agreement or the Planning Cooperation Agreement if applicable (e.g., the Planning Cooperation Agreement provides the Planning Department with a forty-five (45) day review and comment period). Consistent with the Agency’s responsibilities under the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and the Planning Cooperation Agreement, the Agency shall use commercially reasonable efforts to cause each applicable City Agency to
complete its review of each Complete Schematic Design Documents Application, or applicable portions thereof, within such time.

3. **Agency Review - Complete Schematic Design Documents Application**

   The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Complete Schematic Design Documents Application and shall notify Vertical Developer of the Agency staff’s comments and comments by applicable City Agencies. The Agency staff shall provide final comments on each Complete Schematic Design Documents Application within sixty (60) days (for Applications pertaining to buildings sixty-five (65) feet in height and under) or within eighty (80) days (for Applications pertaining to buildings over sixty-five (65) feet in height) following the Agency’s determination that the Schematic Design Documents Application is a Complete Application. For Schematic Design Documents Applications pertaining to either the arena or the regional retail shopping center proposed within the Candlestick Site, the Agency’s time for providing final comments on such Complete Schematic Design Documents Application shall be extended by sixty (60) days.

   The Agency staff may propose changes to the Complete Schematic Design Documents Application that do not conflict with the Redevelopment Requirements. If the Agency proposes any such changes, then the Agency and Vertical Developer shall promptly meet and confer in good faith for a period of not more than thirty (30) days, as such period may be extended by mutual agreement, to reach agreement on any such changes proposed by the Agency; provided such meet and confer period shall run concurrently with, and shall not extend, the sixty (60) or eighty (80) day period described above, as applicable, unless agreed to by Developer and Agency staff.

   Vertical Developer shall have the right at any time after such sixty (60) or eighty (80) day period, as may be extended as set forth above, has run to require that the Agency Director submit the Complete Schematic Design Documents Application to the Agency Commission for review and consideration, with or without Agency staff recommendation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if one or more Vertical Developers submit a subsequent Complete Schematic Design Documents Application for a different Lot within fifteen (15) days of the date of submittal of a previous Schematic Design Documents Application, then the Agency shall be entitled to an additional fifteen (15) days to review and determine whether such subsequent Schematic Design Documents Application is a Complete Application. Any such extension shall not be the basis for Excusable Delay.

   At the close of the periods described above in this Section VII.B.3, the Agency Director shall submit the Complete Schematic Design Documents Application to the CAC or the PAC, as applicable, and then to the Agency Commission for review and consideration at the next regularly-scheduled meeting of the Agency Commission for which an agenda has not yet been finalized and for which the Agency can prepare and submit a staff report in keeping with standard practices of the Agency. The Agency Commission shall take action on each Complete Schematic Design Documents Application in accordance with the standards in Section VII.B.4 within thirty (30) days after such Complete Schematic Design Documents Application is introduced at a public meeting of the Agency Commission for review and Approval, unless Vertical Developer, in its sole discretion Approves an extension of such period. As to Stand-
Alone Workforce Projects and Alice Griffith Replacement Projects, failure of the Agency Director to submit a Complete Schematic Design Documents Application to the Agency Commission, and the failure of the Agency Commission to act, within the time frames specified above shall each be a basis for Excusable Delay.

4. **Agency Review - Approval Standard**

All Schematic Design Documents Applications shall be reviewed and considered by the CAC or the PAC, as applicable, and then the Agency Commission, and shall be Approved by the Agency Commission if and to the extent the Schematic Design Documents Application (i) conforms to and is consistent with the applicable Redevelopment Requirements and (ii) as to matters or details that are beyond the scope of the foregoing, is reasonably acceptable to the Agency Commission.

If a Schematic Design Documents Application is disapproved by the Agency Commission, then the Agency Commissioners shall, at the public hearing during which the Schematic Design Documents Application is being considered, state the basis for the disapproval, which basis shall be summarized in writing by the Agency Director after the hearing and delivered to Vertical Developer. Following any disapproval of a Schematic Design Documents Application, Vertical Developer may make changes to and resubmit the Schematic Design Documents Application at any time; provided, for Alice Griffith Replacement Projects and Stand-Alone Workforce Projects, such resubmittal shall be made within ninety (90) days. Promptly following the Agency Director’s receipt of a revised Complete Schematic Design Documents Application, the Agency Director shall submit such revised Complete Schematic Design Documents Application in accordance with the procedure set forth in this Section VII.B. For Alice Griffith Replacement Projects and Stand-Alone Workforce Projects, the Schedule of Performance shall be automatically extended, if necessary, to allow for the foregoing procedure; provided, however, that the Schedule of Performance shall not be so extended for more than one revised Complete Schematic Design Documents Application for each such Alice Griffith Replacement Project and Stand-Alone Workforce Project without the Approval of the Agency Director.

5. **Amendments to Schematic Design Documents Approvals**

Vertical Developers may apply to the Agency for an amendment to a Schematic Design Documents in accordance with the standards and procedures for a Schematic Design Documents Application. All proposed amendments to Schematic Design Documents shall be subject to review and Approval by the Agency Director, unless the Agency Director determines that the proposed amendment is material, in which case the Agency Commission shall take action on the proposed amendment in accordance with the standards and procedures set forth in Section VII.B.

C. **REVIEW OF DESIGN DEVELOPMENT**

“Design Development Documents” refer to design development level of detail for a specific Improvement and, unless otherwise Approved by Vertical Developer and the Agency Director, shall include the documents and materials described for Design Development Documents in Exhibit E-A. The purpose of this submittal is to expand upon the Schematic
Design Documents, incorporate changes resulting from resolution of comments and concerns raised during the review of the Schematic Design Documents in accordance with Sections VII.B.1 and 2, and prepare drawings and other documents for architectural, structural, mechanical and electrical systems.

1. **Agency Review - Initial**

   The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Design Development Application using the same procedures described for Major Phase Applications in Section IV.B.1. A Design Development Application shall not be deemed a Complete Application for purposes of the review periods set forth below until the Agency Director notifies Developer that it is a Complete Application, and the review periods shall commence on the date of such notification.

2. **City Agency Review - Complete Design Development Application**

   Within three (3) days of the Agency’s determination that a Design Development Application is a Complete Application, the Agency staff shall submit such Complete Design Development Application, or applicable portions thereof, to applicable City Agencies. The City Agencies will review submittals made to them for consistency with the Applicable City Regulations. Each City Agency will provide any comments on the submittal to the Agency within thirty (30) days from the City Agency’s receipt of the submittal. Consistent with the Agency’s responsibilities under the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and the Planning Cooperation Agreement, the Agency shall use commercially reasonable efforts to cause each applicable City Agency to complete its review of each Complete Design Development Application, or applicable portions thereof, within such time.

3. **Agency Review - Complete Design Development Application**

   The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Complete Design Development Application and shall notify Vertical Developer of the Agency staff’s comments and comments by applicable City Agencies. The Agency staff shall provide final comments on each Complete Design Development Application within sixty (60) days (for Applications pertaining to buildings sixty-five (65) feet in height and under) or within eighty (80) days (for Applications pertaining to buildings over sixty-five (65) feet in height) following the Agency’s determination that the Design Development Application is a Complete Application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if one or more Vertical Developers submit a subsequent Design Development Application for a different Lot within fifteen (15) days of the date of submittal of a previous Design Development Application, then the Agency shall be entitled to an additional fifteen (15) days to review and determine whether such subsequent Design Development Application is a Complete Application. Any such extension shall not be the basis for Excusable Delay.

   The Agency staff may propose changes to the Complete Design Development Application that do not conflict with the Redevelopment Requirements. If the Agency proposes any such changes, then the Agency and Vertical Developer shall promptly meet and confer in good faith for a period of not more than thirty (30) days, as such period may be extended by
mutual agreement, to reach agreement on any such changes proposed by the Agency; provided such meet and confer period shall run concurrently with, and shall not extend, the sixty (60) or eighty (80) day period specified above (as extended for multiple Applications as set forth above) unless agreed to by Developer and Agency staff. Vertical Developer shall have the right at any time after such period has run to require that the Agency staff submit the Complete Design Development Application to the Agency Director for review and consideration.

At the close of the periods described above in this Section VII.C.3, the Agency staff shall submit the Complete Design Development Application to the Agency Director for review and consideration. The Agency Director shall take action on each Complete Design Development Application in accordance with the standards in Section VII.C.4 within thirty (30) days after such submittal to the Agency Director.

4. **Agency Review - Approval Standard**

All Design Development Applications shall be reviewed and considered by the Agency Director, and shall be Approved if and to the extent the Design Development Application (i) conforms to and is consistent with the applicable Redevelopment Requirements and (ii) as to matters or details that are beyond the scope of the foregoing, is reasonably acceptable to the Agency Director. If a Design Development Application is disapproved by the Agency Director, then the Agency Director shall send a notice to the applicant stating the basis for the disapproval. The applicant shall have the opportunity to make changes to and resubmit the Design Development Application from time to time. Promptly following the Agency Director’s receipt of a revised Complete Design Development Application, the Agency Director shall review and consider such Application in accordance with the procedure set forth in this Section VII.C.

5. **Amendments to Design Development Documents Approvals**

Vertical Developer may apply to the Agency for an amendment to Design Development Documents consistent with, to the extent applicable, the requirements for Design Development Documents. All proposed amendments to Design Development Documents shall be subject to review and Approval by the Agency Director in the manner and to the extent set forth in Section VII.C; provided that: (i) proposed amendments that materially amend the Schematic Design Documents shall be reviewed under the standards and procedures applicable to Schematic Design Documents in Section VII.B; and (ii) proposed amendments that materially extend the Schedule of Performance for Completion of the Units on an Alice Griffith Lot or Stand-Alone Workforce Lot shall be reviewed under the standards and procedures applicable to Major Phase Applications in Section III.B. Variances may not be requested through an application for an amendment.

D. **REVIEW OF CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS**

“**Construction Documents**” refer to the construction documents level of detail for a specific Improvement and, unless otherwise Approved by the Agency Director, shall include the documents and materials described for Construction Documents in Exhibit E-A. The purpose of this submittal is to expand and develop the Design Development Documents to their final form, prepare drawings and specifications in sufficient detail to set forth the requirements of
construction of the Improvement and to provide for application for and receipt of all
Authorizations required in order to Commence and Complete the applicable Improvements.

1. DBI Review – Initial

The DBI staff shall review each Construction Documents Application as expeditiously as
reasonably possible in accordance with the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and consistent
with the Applicable City Regulations.

2. Agency Review - Initial

The Agency staff shall review each Construction Documents Application as
expeditiously as reasonably possible using the same procedures described for Major Phase
Applications in Section IV.B.1. A Construction Documents Application shall not be deemed a
Complete Application for purposes of the review periods set forth below until the Agency
Director notifies Developer that it is a Complete Application, and the review periods shall
commence on the date of such notification.

3. City Agency Review - Complete Construction Documents Application

Vertical Developers shall submit Construction Documents Applications concurrently to
the Agency and DBI, who shall circulate permit applications to appropriate City Agencies within
three (3) days of the Agency’s determination that a Construction Documents Application is a
Complete Application, consistent with the requirements of the Interagency Cooperation
Agreement. The City Agencies will review submittals made to them for consistency with the
Applicable City Regulations. Each City Agency will provide any comments on the submittal to
the Agency within thirty (30) days from the City Agency’s receipt of the submittal. Consistent
with the Agency’s responsibilities under the Interagency Cooperation Agreement and the
Planning Cooperation Agreement, the Agency shall use commercially reasonable efforts to cause
each applicable City Agency to complete its review of each Complete Construction Documents
Application, or applicable portions thereof, within such time.

4. Agency Review - Complete Construction Documents Application

The Agency staff shall review as expeditiously as reasonably possible each Complete
Construction Documents Application and shall notify Vertical Developer of the Agency staff’s
comments and comments by applicable City Agencies. The Agency staff shall provide final
comments on each Complete Construction Documents Application within sixty (60) days (for
Applications pertaining to buildings sixty-five (65) feet in height and under) or within eighty
(80) days (for Applications pertaining to buildings over sixty-five (65) feet in height) following
the Agency’s determination that the Construction Documents Application is a Complete
Application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if one or more Vertical Developers submit a
subsequent Construction Documents Application for a different Lot within fifteen (15) days of
the date of submittal of a previous Construction Documents Application, then the Agency shall
be entitled to an additional fifteen (15) days to review and determine whether such subsequent
Construction Documents Application is a Complete Application. Any such extension shall not
be the basis for Excusable Delay.
The Agency staff may propose changes to the Complete Construction Documents Application that do not conflict with the Redevelopment Requirements. If the Agency proposes any such changes, then the Agency and Vertical Developer shall promptly meet and confer in good faith for a period of not more than thirty (30) days, as such period may be extended by mutual agreement, to reach agreement on any such changes proposed by the Agency; provided such meet and confer period shall run concurrently with, and shall not extend, the sixty (60) and eighty (80) day period specified above (as extended for multiple Applications as set forth above) unless agreed to by Developer and Agency staff. Vertical Developer shall have the right at any time after such period has run to require that the Agency staff submit any final comments on the Complete Construction Documents Application to DBI and the Agency Director for review and consideration.

At the close of the periods described above in this Section VII.D.4, the Agency staff shall submit the Complete Construction Documents Application to DBI and the Agency Director for final review and consideration. DBI and the Agency Director shall take action on each Complete Construction Documents Application in accordance with the standards in Section VII.D.4 within thirty (30) days after such submittal.

5. **Agency Review - Approval Standard**

DBI approval of Construction Documents Applications shall be made in accordance with Applicable City Regulations.

All Construction Documents Applications shall be Approved by the Agency Director if and to the extent the Construction Documents Application (i) conforms to and is consistent with the applicable Redevelopment Requirements and (ii) as to matters or details that are beyond the scope of the foregoing, is reasonably acceptable to the Agency Director. If a Construction Documents Application is disapproved by the Agency Director, then the Agency Director shall send a notice to the applicant stating the basis for the disapproval. The applicant shall have the opportunity to make changes to and resubmit the Construction Documents Application from time to time. Promptly following the Agency Director’s receipt of a revised Construction Documents Application, the Agency Director shall review and consider such Application in accordance with the procedure set forth in this Section VII.D. The approval of the Agency shall not override the review authority of DBI under the standards and procedures of the Applicable City Regulations.

Construction Documents shall not be Approved until the conditions and requirements set forth in article 4 of the DDA have been satisfied or waived by the Agency.

6. **Amendments to Construction Documents Approvals**

Vertical Developer may apply to the Agency and DBI for an amendment to Construction Documents consistent with the Applicable City Regulations.

All proposed amendments to the Construction Documents shall be reviewed and considered by DBI and the Agency Director in the manner and to the extent set forth in Section VII.D; provided that: (i) proposed amendments that materially amend the Schematic Design Documents shall be reviewed under the standards and procedures applicable to Schematic Design Documents in Section VII.B; and (ii) proposed amendments that materially...
extend the Schedule of Performance for Completion of the Units on an Alice Griffith Lot or Stand-Alone Workforce Lot shall be reviewed under the standards and procedures applicable to Major Phase Applications in Section III.B.

7. Processing Alternative for Construction Documents

Construction Documents may, as an alternative to the process described in this Section VII.D, be divided and submitted separately for various Improvements on a Lot in accordance with an addenda schedule for the Lot prepared by Developer in consultation with DBI and Approved by the Agency Director. The Agency Director shall review and Approve or deny any such addenda schedule within twenty (20) days of receipt.

VIII. PARKS & OPEN SPACE AND RELATED IMPROVEMENTS

Submittal of Schematic Design Documents Applications, Design Development Documents Applications, and Construction Documents Applications for Improvements located in parks and open space areas, as well as buildings, pump stations and other structures to be built by Developer and dedicated to the City, shall be in accordance with Section VII and Exhibit E-B and shall satisfy the schedule requirements in Exhibit E-C.

IX. OTHER CITY PERMITS

A. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS

No review by the Agency will be made or Approval given as to the compliance of any Approval with any building codes and standards, including building engineering and structural design, or any other applicable State or federal law or regulation relating to construction standards or requirements, including, without limitation, compliance with any State or federal law or regulation related to the suitability of the improvements for use by persons with physical disabilities. Developer and Vertical Developers shall be responsible for all such compliance.

B. AGENCY REVIEW OF CITY PERMITS

No building permit, or any other City permit, including but not limited to any permits required by the Department of Public Works, shall be issued unless the Agency has first reviewed such building permit or other City permit for consistency with the Redevelopment Requirements and has signed the permit application. The Agency staff shall complete its review pursuant to this Section IX.B within thirty (30) days of receipt of such building permit or other City permit.

C. SITE PERMITS

Developer or Vertical Developer, as applicable, shall not submit a Site Permit application to the City until the Schematic Design Documents Application has been Approved by the Agency Commission and the Agency has determined that the Design Development Application is a Complete Application.
Under the Site Permit process, the Construction Documents may be divided and submitted to the Department of Building Inspection in accordance with an addenda schedule for the Lot(s) prepared by Developer in consultation with the DBI and Approved by the Agency Director within the time and subject to the conditions set forth in Section VII.C.6.

X. GOVERNMENT REQUIRED PROVISIONS, CHANGES

Where a change in a Complete Major Phase Application, Complete Sub-Phase Application, Complete Schematic Design Documents Application, Complete Design Development Documents Application, or Complete Construction Documents Application is required by a City Agency or other Governmental Entity and such City Agency or Governmental Entity has authority to require such change pursuant to either applicable State or federal law or, in the case of City Agencies, pursuant to the Interagency Cooperation Agreement or the Planning Cooperation Agreement, the Agency and the Developer and Vertical Developers, as applicable, acknowledge and agree that: (i) they will meet and confer and make every reasonable effort to respond to such requirement in a manner that is consistent with the Redevelopment Requirements and applicable State and federal law; and (ii) the Agency will not deny its Approval of any change that is required to comply with applicable State of federal law or the requirements of City Agencies and Governmental Entities that do not conflict with the Redevelopment Requirements.