RESOLUTION ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND CONDITIONALLY
AUTHORIZING A FOURTH AMENDMENT TO THE MISSION BAY SOUTH OWNER
PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT WITH FOCIL-MB, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY, TO ALLOW FAMILY HOUSE, INC., A NONPROFIT PUBLIC
BENEFIT CORPORATION TO DEVELOP A 96,000 SQUARE FOOT FACILITY ON
BLOCK 7E THAT WOULD INCLUDE APPROXIMATELY 80 EXTENDED STAY ROOMS
AND ASSOCIATED COMMON AREAS AND PROGRAM SPACE TO SUPPORT
FAMILIES OF PATIENTS PRIMARILY RECEIVING TREATMENT AT THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO MISSION BAY MEDICAL CENTER; MISSION BAY
SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA.

WHEREAS, The Commission of the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of
San Francisco ("Redevelopment Commission") and the San Francisco Planning
Commission, together acting as co-lead agencies for conducting environmental
review for the Redevelopment Plans for the Mission Bay North Redevelopment
Project area and the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (the
"Plans"), the Mission Bay North Owner Participation Agreement ("North OPA")
and the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement ("South OPA"), and
other permits, approvals and related and collateral action (the "Mission Bay
Project"), prepared and certified a Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report
and have subsequently issued addenda thereto as described below (collectively
referred to as the FSEIR"); and,

WHEREAS, On September 17, 1998, the Redevelopment Commission adopted Resolution No.
182-98 which certified the Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report
("FSEIR") as a program EIR for Mission Bay North and South pursuant to the
California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and State CEQA Guidelines
Sections 15168 (Program EIR) and 15180 (Redevelopment Plan EIR). On the
same date, the Redevelopment Commission also adopted Resolution No. 183-98,
which adopted environmental findings (including without limitation a statement
of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program)
("CEQA Findings"), in connection with the approval of the Mission Bay Project.
The San Francisco Planning Commission ("Planning Commission") certified the
FSEIR by Resolution No. 14696 on the same date. On October 19, 1998, the
Board of Supervisors adopted Motion No. 98-132 affirming certification of the
FSEIR by the Planning Commission and the Redevelopment Agency, and
Resolution No. 854-98 adopting environmental findings (including without
limitation a statement of overriding considerations and a mitigation monitoring
and reporting program for the Mission Bay Project; and,

WHEREAS, On September 17, 1998, the Redevelopment Commission adopted Resolution No.
193-98, authorizing execution of a South OPA and related documents between
Catellus Development Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Catellus"), and the
Redevelopment Agency. On November 2, 1998, the San Francisco Board of
Supervisors ("Board of Supervisors"), by Ordinance No. 335-98, adopted the
Plan. The Plan and its implementing documents, as defined in the Plan, constitute
the "Plan Documents"; and,
WHEREAS, Subsequent to certification of the FSEIR, the Planning Department and the Redevelopment Agency issued several addenda to the FSEIR. The addenda do not identify any substantial new information or new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects that alter the conclusions reached in the FSEIR. The first addendum, dated March 21, 2000, analyzed temporary parking lots to serve the AT&T Ballpark. The second addendum, dated June 20, 2001, analyzed revisions to 7th Street bike lanes and relocation of a storm drain outfall provided for in the Mission Bay South Infrastructure Plan, a component of the South OPA. The third addendum, dated February 10, 2004, analyzed revisions to the Mission Bay South Design for Development ("Design for Development") with respect to the maximum allowable number of towers, tower separation and required step-backs. The fourth addendum, dated March 9, 2004, analyzed the Design for Development with respect to the permitted maximum number of parking spaces for bio-technical and similar research facilities and the Mission Bay North OPA with respect to changes to reflect a reduction in permitted commercial development and associated parking. The fifth addendum, dated October 4, 2005, analyzed the UCSF proposal to establish a Phase I 400-bed hospital in the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area ("Mission Bay South") on Blocks 36-39 and X-3. The sixth addendum, dated September 10, 2008, addressed revisions of the UCSF Medical Center at Mission Bay. The seventh addendum, dated January 7, 2010, addressed the construction of a Public Safety Building on Block 8 in Mission Bay South. The eighth addendum, dated May 15, 2013, addressed the third South OPA amendment on Block 1 to allow residential uses in addition to a hotel; and,

WHEREAS, The South OPA has been amended twice by the former Redevelopment Commission, the first time on February 17, 2004 (Resolution No. 23-2004) and the second time on November 1, 2005 (Resolution No. 177-2005). A third amendment to the South OPA for Block 1 was conditionally authorized by the Commission on May 21, 2013 (Resolution No. 16-2013); and,

WHEREAS, Catellus, the original master developer of the Mission Bay North and South Redevelopment Project Areas, has sold most of its remaining undeveloped land in Mission Bay to FOCIL-MB, LLC, ("FOCIL-MB"), a subsidiary of Parallon Capital Management, LLC, a large investment management firm. The sale encompassed approximately 71 acres of land in Mission Bay, and the remaining undeveloped residential parcels in Mission Bay South. FOCIL-MB assumed all of Catellus' obligations under the North OPA and South OPA, as well as all responsibilities under the related public improvement agreements and land transfer agreements with the City and County of San Francisco ("City"). FOCIL-MB is bound by all terms of the OPAs and related agreements, including the requirements of the affordable housing program, equal opportunity program, and design review process; and,

WHEREAS, Under California Assembly Bill No. 1X26 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2011-12, First Extraordinary Session) ("AB 26") and the California Supreme Court’s decision in California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos, No. 5194861, all redevelopment agencies in the State of California (the "State"), including the Redevelopment Agency, were dissolved by operation of law as of February 1, 2012, and their non-affordable housing assets and obligations were transferred to certain designated successor agencies; and,

WHEREAS, Under the provisions of AB 26, the City was designated as the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency ("Successor Agency") to receive the assets of the Redevelopment Agency; and,
WHEREAS, In June of 2012, the California legislature adopted Assembly Bill 1484 ("AB 1484") amending certain provisions of AB 26, and the Governor of the State signed the bill and it became effective on June 27, 2012. Among other things, AB 1484 provided that a successor agency is a separate public entity from the public agency that provides for its governance; and,

WHEREAS, Subsequent to the adoption of AB 1484, on October 2, 2012 the Board of Supervisors of the City, acting as the legislative body of the Successor Agency, adopted Ordinance No. 215-12 (the "Implementing Ordinance"), which Implementing Ordinance was signed by the Mayor on October 4, 2012, and which, among other matters: (a) acknowledged and confirmed that, as of the effective date of AB 1484, the Successor Agency, commonly known as the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure ("OCII"), is a separate legal entity from the City, and (b) established the Successor Agency Commission ("Commission") and delegated to it the authority to (i) act in place of the Redevelopment Commission to, among other matters, implement, modify, enforce and complete the Redevelopment Agency's enforceable obligations, (ii) approve all contracts and actions related to the assets transferred to or retained by the Successor Agency, including, without limitation, the authority to exercise land use, development, and design approval, consistent with applicable enforceable obligations, and (iii) take any action that the Redevelopment Dissolution Law (AB 26 and AB 1484, as amended in the future) requires or authorizes on behalf of the Successor Agency and any other action that the Successor Agency Commission deems appropriate, consistent with the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, to comply with such obligations; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' delegation to the Commission, commonly known as the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, includes the authority to grant approvals under specified land use controls for the Mission Bay Project consistent with the approved Plan and enforceable obligations, including amending an existing obligation as allowed by the Redevelopment Dissolution Law; and,

WHEREAS, Redevelopment Dissolution Law places the performance of certain duties by successor agencies under the supervision of newly established oversight boards. The oversight boards oversee the fiscal management of future successor agency activities regarding the enforceable obligations. In performing their functions, the oversight boards owe fiduciary responsibilities to the holders of enforceable obligations and the taxing entities entitled to the distribution of property tax revenues under the Redevelopment Dissolution Law. Redevelopment Dissolution Law requires that each action of an oversight board be by resolution, subject to review by the California Department of Finance; and,

WHEREAS, The Mayor (with confirmation by the Board of Supervisors), the Bay Area Rapid Transit District, the Chancellor of the California Community College, and the San Francisco School District have appointed members to this Oversight Board; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency has prepared a proposed fourth amendment to the South OPA ("Fourth Amendment") for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area to allow Family House, Inc., a nonprofit public benefit corporation to develop an institutional facility on Block 7E to support families of patients primarily receiving treatment at University of California, San Francisco ("UCSF") Mission Bay Medical Center; and,
WHEREAS, The proposed Fourth Amendment would provide for development on Block 7E of a 96,000 square foot facility that would include approximately 80 extended stay rooms and associated common areas and program space to support families of patients primarily receiving treatment at UCSF Mission Bay Medical Center ("Family House Project"). For the purposes of the Fourth Amendment, the Family House Project is considered equivalent to a housing project requiring the payment of an affordable housing fee in the amount of $2,500,000 ("Block 7E Affordable Housing Fee"). This amount is comparable to a fee that the developer of a 47 unit housing project would pay under the City's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Ordinance, Planning Code § 415.5. The Block 7E Affordable Housing Fee will be used to support development of other affordable housing units in Mission Bay South; and,

WHEREAS, The Family House Project would be allowed on the site as a secondary use (institutional, small social service/philanthropic facility) under the South Plan, which requires a finding by the Executive Director of the Successor Agency prior to development that the use, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community. The Executive Director will make the required findings following the approval of the Fourth Amendment; and,

WHEREAS, By allowing for the Family House Project on Block 7E it will: (1) result in the development of a site that no longer has a viable development program as a result of UCSF terminating its DDA for Block 7E; (2) retain and promote UCSF's activities within Mission Bay; (3) strengthen the economic base of the South Plan Area and the community by adding to the diversity of uses; and (4) contribute substantial funds to production of affordable housing in Mission Bay South, thereby reducing the need for the use of tax increment for the completion of existing obligations; and,

WHEREAS, On June 4, 2013, the Commission conditionally approved the Fourth Amendment related to the Mission Bay Project (the "Implementing Action"); and,

WHEREAS, Since the certification of the FSEIR, adoption of the CEQA Findings, and approval of the Mission Bay Project, the Successor Agency prepared an Addendum #9 to the FSEIR, dated May 30, 2013 ("Addendum #9") that analyzes the Fourth Amendment; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency prepared Addendum #9 in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines and it reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Successor Agency. Addendum #9 concludes that the Mission Bay Project, as modified by the Fourth Amendment is within the scope of the Mission Bay Project analyzed in the FSEIR and will not result in any new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects that alter the conclusions reached in the FSEIR for the reasons stated in the Addendum #9; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency staff, in making the necessary findings for the Implementing Action contemplated by this Resolution, considered and reviewed the FSEIR, and has made documents related to the Implementing Action, the FSEIR files, including Addendum #9, available for review by the Oversight Board and the public, and these files are part of the record before the Oversight Board; and,
WHEREAS,  Copies of the FSEIR, including Addendum #9 and supporting documentation are on file with the Oversight Board Secretary and are incorporated in this Resolution by this reference; and,

WHEREAS,  The Implementing Action is an undertaking pursuant to and in furtherance of the Mission Bay Project in conformance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15180; and,

WHEREAS,  The FSEIR and CEQA Findings adopted by the Agency Commission by Resolution No. 183-98 dated September 17, 1998, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Agency Commission, were and remain adequate, accurate and objective and were prepared and adopted following the procedures required by CEQA, and the findings in such resolution are incorporated herein by reference as applicable to the Implementing Action; and,

WHEREAS,  OCII staff has reviewed the Fourth Amendment, conditionally approved by the Commission on June 4, 2013 and finds it acceptable and recommends approval thereof; and,

WHEREAS,  A copy of the Fourth Amendment is on file with the Secretary of the Oversight Board and fully incorporated herein; and,

WHEREAS,  This Oversight Board now desires to approve the Fourth Amendment as contemplated by the Successor Agency Resolution, attached hereto as Exhibit A; now, therefore, be it,

RESOLVED,  That this Oversight Board has considered the FSEIR, the CEQA Findings that were previously adopted by the Redevelopment Commission, including the statement of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program, and the Addendum #9, and the Oversight Board adopts the CEQA Findings and Addendum #9 as its own; and, be it further

RESOLVED,  That this Oversight Board finds and determines that the Implementing Action is within the scope of the Mission Bay Project analyzed in the FSEIR and requires no further environmental review beyond the FSEIR pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines Section 15180, 15162 and 15163 for the following reasons:

(1) implementation of the Fourth Amendment does not require major revisions to the FSEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant impacts; and,

(2) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project analyzed in the FSEIR will be undertaken that would require major revisions to the FSEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects, or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the FSEIR; and,

(3) no new information of substantial importance to the project analyzed in the FSEIR has become available, which would indicated that (i) the Fourth Amendment will have significant effects not discussed in the FSEIR; (ii) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe; (iii) mitigation measures or alternatives found not feasible, which would reduce one or more significant effects, have become feasible; or (iv) mitigation measures or alternatives, which are considerably different from those in the FSEIR, will substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the
environment that would change the conclusions set forth in the FSEIR; and,
be it further

RESOLVED, That this Oversight Board approves the Fourth Amendment, substantially in the
form on file with the Secretary of this Oversight Board, subject to approval of this
action by the California Department of Finance.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Oversight Board at its meeting
of June 10, 2013.

[Signature]
Oversight Board Secretary
EXHIBIT A

Successor Agency Resolution No. 28-2013
RESOLUTION ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND CONDITIONALLY AUTHORIZING A FOURTH AMENDMENT TO THE MISSION BAY SOUTH OWNER PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT WITH FOCIL-MB, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, TO ALLOW FAMILY HOUSE, INC., A NONPROFIT PUBLIC BENEFIT CORPORATION TO DEVELOP A 96,000 SQUARE FOOT FACILITY ON BLOCK 7E THAT WOULD INCLUDE APPROXIMATELY 80 EXTENDED STAY ROOMS AND ASSOCIATED COMMON AREAS AND PROGRAM SPACE TO SUPPORT FAMILIES OF PATIENTS PRIMARILY RECEIVING TREATMENT AT UCSF MISSION BAY MEDICAL CENTER; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

WHEREAS, The Commission of the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco ("Redevelopment Commission") and the San Francisco Planning Commission, together acting as co-lead agencies for conducting environmental review for the Redevelopment Plans for the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Project area and the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (the "Plans"), the Mission Bay North Owner Participation Agreement ("North OPA") and the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement ("South OPA"), and other permits, approvals and related and collateral action (the "Mission Bay Project"), prepared and certified a Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report and have subsequently issued addenda thereto as described below (collectively referred to as the FSEIR"); and,

WHEREAS, On September 17, 1998, the Redevelopment Commission adopted Resolution No. 182-98 which certified the Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report ("FSEIR") as a program EIR for Mission Bay North and South pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15168 (Program EIR) and 15180 (Redevelopment Plan EIR). On the same date, the Redevelopment Commission also adopted Resolution No. 183-98, which adopted environmental findings (including without limitation a statement of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program) ("CEQA Findings"), in connection with the approval of the Mission Bay Project. The San Francisco Planning Commission ("Planning Commission") certified the FSEIR by Resolution No. 14696 on the same date. On October 19, 1998, the Board of Supervisors adopted Motion No. 98-132 affirming certification of the FSEIR by the Planning Commission and the Redevelopment Agency, and Resolution No. 854-98 adopting environmental findings (including without limitation a statement of overriding considerations and a mitigation monitoring and reporting program for the Mission Bay Project; and,

WHEREAS, On September 17, 1998, the Redevelopment Commission adopted Resolution No. 193-98, authorizing execution of an South OPA and related documents between Catellus Development Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Catellus"), and the Redevelopment Agency. On November 2, 1998, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors ("Board of Supervisors"), by Ordinance No. 335-98, adopted the
Plan. The Plan and its implementing documents, as defined in the Plan, constitute the "Plan Documents"; and,

WHEREAS, Subsequent to certification of the FSEIR, the Planning Department and the Redevelopment Agency issued several addenda to the FSEIR. The addenda do not identify any substantial new information or new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects that alter the conclusions reached in the FSEIR. The first addendum, dated March 21, 2000, analyzed temporary parking lots to serve the AT&T Ballpark. The second addendum, dated June 20, 2001, analyzed revisions to 7th Street bike lanes and relocation of a storm drain outfall provided for in the Mission Bay South Infrastructure Plan, a component of the South OPA. The third addendum, dated February 10, 2004, analyzed revisions to the Mission Bay South Design for Development ("Design for Development") with respect to the maximum allowable number of towers, tower separation and requires step-backs. The fourth addendum, dated March 9, 2004, analyzed the Design for Development with respect to the permitted maximum number of parking spaces for bio-technical and similar research facilities and the Mission Bay North OPA with respect to changes to reflect a reduction in permitted commercial development and associated parking. The fifth addendum, dated October 4, 2005, analyzed the UCSF proposal to establish a Phase I 400-bed hospital in the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area ("Mission Bay South") on Blocks 36-39 and X-3. The sixth addendum, dated September 10, 2008, addressed revisions of the UCSF Medical Center at Mission Bay. The seventh addendum, dated January 7, 2010, addressed the construction of a Public Safety Building on Block 8 in Mission Bay South. The eighth addendum, dated May 15, 2013, addressed the third South OPA amendment on Block 1 to allow residential uses in addition to a hotel; and,

WHEREAS, Catellus, the original master developer of the Mission Bay North and South Redevelopment Project Areas, has sold most of its remaining undeveloped land in Mission Bay to FOCIL-MB, LLC, ("FOCIL-MB"), a subsidiary of Farallon Capital Management, LLC, a large investment management firm. The sale encompassed approximately 71 acres of land in Mission Bay, and the remaining undeveloped residential parcels in Mission Bay South. FOCIL-MB assumed all of Catellus’ obligations under the North OPA and South OPA, as well as all responsibilities under the related public improvement agreements and land transfer agreements with the City and County of San Francisco ("City"). FOCIL-MB is bound by all terms of the OPAs and related agreements, including the requirements of the affordable housing program, equal opportunity program, and design review process; and,

WHEREAS, Under California Assembly Bill No. 1X26 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2011-12, First Extraordinary Session) ("AB 26") and the California Supreme Court’s decision in California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos, No. 5194861, all redevelopment agencies in the State of California (the "State"), including the Redevelopment Agency, were dissolved by operation of law as of February 1, 2012, and their non-affordable housing assets and obligations were transferred to certain designated successor agencies; and,

WHEREAS, Under the provisions of AB 26, the City was designated as the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency ("Successor Agency") to receive the assets of the Redevelopment Agency; and,

WHEREAS, In June of 2012, the California legislature adopted Assembly Bill 1484 ("AB 1484") amending certain provisions of AB 26, and the Governor of the
State signed the bill and it became effective on June 27, 2012. Among other things, AB 1484 provided that a successor agency is a separate public entity from the public agency that provides for its governance; and,

WHEREAS, Subsequent to the adoption of AB 1484, on October 2, 2012 the Board of Supervisors of the City, acting as the legislative body of the Successor Agency, adopted Ordinance No. 215-12 (the “Implementing Ordinance”), which Implementing Ordinance was signed by the Mayor on October 4, 2012, and which, among other matters: (a) acknowledged and confirmed that, as of the effective date of AB 1484, the Successor Agency, commonly known as the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (“OCI”), is a separate legal entity from the City, and (b) established this Successor Agency Commission (“Commission”) and delegated to it the authority to (i) act in place of the Redevelopment Commission to, among other matters, implement, modify, enforce and complete the Redevelopment Agency’s enforceable obligations, (ii) approve all contracts and actions related to the assets transferred to or retained by the Successor Agency, including, without limitation, the authority to exercise land use, development, and design approval, consistent with applicable enforceable obligations, and (iii) take any action that the Redevelopment Dissolution Law (AB 26 and AB 1484, as amended in the future) requires or authorizes on behalf of the Successor Agency and any other action that this Successor Agency Commission deems appropriate, consistent with the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, to comply with such obligations; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors’ delegation to this Commission, commonly known as the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, includes the authority to grant approvals under specified land use controls for the Mission Bay Project consistent with the approved Plan and enforceable obligations, including amending an existing obligation as allowed by the Redevelopment Dissolution Law; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency has prepared a proposed fourth amendment to the South OPA (“Fourth Amendment”) for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area to allow Family House, Inc., a nonprofit public benefit corporation to develop an institutional facility on Block 7E to support families of patients primarily receiving treatment at University of California, San Francisco (“UCSF”) Mission Bay Medical Center; and,

WHEREAS, The Commission is currently considering approval of the Fourth Amendment related to the Mission Bay Project (the “Implementing Action”); and,

WHEREAS, The proposed Fourth Amendment would provide for development on Block 7E of a 96,000 square foot facility that would include approximately 80 extended stay rooms and associated common areas and program space to support families of patients primarily receiving treatment at UCSF Mission Bay Medical Center (“Family House Project”). For the purposes of the Fourth Amendment, the Family House Project is considered equivalent to a housing project requiring the payment of an affordable housing fee in the amount of $2,500,000 (“Block 7E Affordable Housing Fee”). This amount is comparable to a fee that the developer of a 47 unit housing project would pay under the City’s Inclusionary Affordable Housing Ordinance, Planning Code § 415.5. The Block 7E Affordable Housing Fee will be used to support development of other affordable housing units in Mission Bay South; and,
WHEREAS, The Family House Project would be allowed on the site as a secondary use (institutional, small social service/philanthropic facility) under the South Plan, which requires a finding by the Executive Director prior to development that the use, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community. The Executive Director will make the required findings following the approval of the Fourth Amendment; and,

WHEREAS, By allowing for the Family House Project on Block 7E it will: (1) result in the development of a site that no longer has a viable development program as a result of UCSF terminating its DDA for Block 7E; (2) retain and promote UCSF’s activities within Mission Bay; (3) strengthen the economic base of the South Plan Area and the community by adding to the diversity of uses; and (4) contribute substantial funds to production of affordable housing in Mission Bay South, thereby reducing the need for the use of tax increment for the completion of existing obligations; and,

WHEREAS, Since the certification of the FSEIR, adoption of the CEQA Findings, and approval of the Mission Bay Project, the Successor Agency prepared an Addendum #9 to the FSEIR, dated May 30, 2013 (“Addendum #9”) that analyzes the Fourth Amendment; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency prepared Addendum #9 in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines and it reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Successor Agency. Addendum #9 concludes that the Mission Bay Project, as modified by the Fourth Amendment is within the scope of the Mission Bay Project analyzed in the FSEIR and will not result in any new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects that alter the conclusions reached in the FSEIR for the reasons stated in the Addendum #9; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency staff, in making the necessary findings for the Implementing Action contemplated by this Resolution, considered and reviewed the FSEIR, and has made documents related to the Implementing Action, the FSEIR files, including Addendum #9, available for review by the Commission and the public, and these files are part of the record before the Commission; and,

WHEREAS, Copies of the FSEIR, including Addendum #9 and supporting documentation are on file with the Successor Agency Secretary and are incorporated in this Resolution by this reference; and,

WHEREAS, The Implementing Action is an undertaking pursuant to and in furtherance of the Mission Bay Project in conformance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15180; and,

WHEREAS, The FSEIR and CEQA Findings adopted by the Agency Commission by Resolution No. 183-98 dated September 17, 1998, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Agency Commission, were and remain adequate, accurate and objective and were prepared and adopted following the procedures required by CEQA, and the findings in such resolution are incorporated herein by reference as applicable to the Implementing Action; and,

WHEREAS, OCII staff has reviewed the Fourth Amendment, and finds it acceptable and recommends approval thereof; now, therefore, be it
RESOLVED, The Commission has considered the FSEIR, the CEQA Findings that were previously adopted by the Redevelopment Commission, including the statement of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program, and the Addendum #9, and the Commission adopts the CEQA Findings and Addendum #9 as its own; and, be it further

RESOLVED, The Commission finds and determines that the Implementing Action is within the scope of the Mission Bay Project analyzed in the FSEIR and requires no further environmental review beyond the FSEIR pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines Section 15180, 15162 and 15163 for the following reasons:

(1) implementation of the Fourth Amendment does not require major revisions to the FSEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant impacts; and,

(2) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project analyzed in the FSEIR will be undertaken that would require major revisions to the FSEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects, or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the FSEIR; and,

(3) no new information of substantial importance to the project analyzed in the FSEIR has become available, which would indicated that (i) the Fourth Amendment will have significant effects not discussed in the FSEIR; (ii) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe; (iii) mitigation measures or alternatives found not feasible, which would reduce one or more significant effects, have become feasible; or (iv) mitigation measures or alternatives, which are considerably different from those in the FSEIR, will substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment that would change the conclusions set forth in the FSEIR; and,

be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commission amends the Fourth Amendment to require that any authorization of a use other than the Family House Project shall require Commission approval; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commission approves the Fourth Amendment, as amended, and substantially in the form lodged with the City Attorney, acting as counsel to OCII, subject to the following condition:

1. The Fourth Amendment to the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement is conditioned on the final approval by the Oversight Board and California Department of Finance.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Successor Agency Commission at its meeting of June 4, 2013.

[Signature]
Commission Secretary