MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE
COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HELD ON THE
17th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2020

The members of the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure of the City and County of San Francisco met in a regular meeting via teleconference at 1:00 p.m. on the 17th day of November 2020. The public was invited to watch the meeting live on SFGOVTV: https://sfgovtv.org/ccii

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: 1-415-655-0001 ACCESS CODE: 146 299 6836

In accordance with Governor Gavin Newsom’s statewide order for all residents to “Stay at Home” - and the numerous preceding local and state proclamations, orders and supplemental directions - aggressive directives were issued to slow down and reduce the spread of the COVID-19 virus. Individuals were encouraged to participate in the meetings remotely by calling in during the public comment section of the meeting.

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

1. Recognition of a Quorum

Meeting was called to order at 1:34 p.m. by Chair Bustos, who apologized for the late start, which was due to technical difficulties. Roll call was taken.

Commissioner Brackett - present
Commissioner Scott - present
Vice-Chair Rosales - present
Chair Bustos - present

All Commissioners were present.

2. Announcements

a) The next regularly scheduled Commission meeting will be held remotely on Tuesday, December 1, 2020 at 1:00 pm.

b) Announcement of Time Allotment for Public Comments from participants dialing in: Please be advised a member of the public has up to three minutes to make pertinent public comments on each agenda item unless the Commission adopts a shorter period on any item. Please note that during the public comment period, all dial-in participants from the public will be instructed to call a toll-free number and use their touch-tone phones to register any desire for public comment. Comments will be taken in the order that it was received. Audio prompts will signal to dial-in participants when their audio input has been enabled for commenting.
3. **Report on actions taken at previous Closed Session meeting** - None

4. **Matters of Unfinished Business** - None

5. **Matters of New Business:**

   **CONSENT AGENDA**

   a) Approval of minutes of regular meeting of October 6, 2020

   **PUBLIC COMMENT** - None

   Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 5(a) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

   Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(a).

   Commissioner Brackett – yes
   Commissioner Scott - yes
   Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
   Chair Bustos – yes

   **ADOPTION:** IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF OCTOBER 6, 2020, BE ADOPTED.

   **REGULAR AGENDA**

   Agenda items 5(b) through 5(g) related to 1450 Owens were presented together but acted on separately

   b) Adopting Environmental Review Findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act related to the approval of amendments to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project, the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement, the Design for Development for the Mission Bay South Project Area, the revised Mission Bay Blocks 41-43 Major Phase Application, and, the conditional approval of the Basic Concept Design / Schematic Design for Mission Bay South Block 43, Parcel 7 (1450 Owens Street); providing notice that these approvals are within the scope of the Mission Bay Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (“FSEIR”), a Program EIR, and is adequately described in the FSEIR for the purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 29-2020)

   c) Approving the Report to the Board of Supervisors on the Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project in connection with the development of a mixed-use Life Sciences Facility on Block 43, Parcel 7 (1450 Owens Street); adopting Environmental Review Findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; and, authorizing the Transmittal of the Report to the Board of Supervisors; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 30-2020)
d) Approving an Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project in connection with the development of a mixed-use Life Sciences Facility on Block 43, Parcel 7 (1450 Owens Street); referring the Plan Amendment to the Planning Commission for its Report and Recommendation to the Board of Supervisors; recommending the Plan Amendment to the Board of Supervisors for adoption; and, adopting Environmental Review Findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 31-2020)

e) Conditionally authorizing a Ninth Amendment to the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement with FOCIL-MB, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; and, adopting Environmental Review Findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 32-2020)

f) Approving an amendment to the Design for Development for the Mission Bay South Project Area in connection with the development of a mixed-use Life Sciences Facility on Block 43, Parcel 7 (1450 Owens Street); and, adopting Environmental Review Findings Pursuant To The California Environmental Quality Act; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 33-2020)

g) Conditionally approving Amendments to the Revised Major Phase for Block 41-43 Application and the Basic Concept / Schematic Design for Mission Bay South Block 43, Parcel 7 (1450 Owens Street) to develop a mixed-use Life Sciences Facility; and, adopting Environmental Review Findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 34-2020)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Nikki Henry, Assistant Development Specialist, Mission Bay (MB); Terezia Nemeth, Executive Vice President & Regional Market Director (SF) at Alexandria Real Estate Equities, Inc. (ARE); Lisa Iwamoto, IwamotoScott Architecture

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Melanie Cohen, Director of Regional Policy and Governmental Affairs, Biocom; Sarah Davis, MB CAC member and MB resident; Leonard Bisoko, Field Representative, Carpenters Local 22; Jay Powell, Powell Architecture; Bruce Agid, MB resident & Board member, South Beach Rincon MB Neighborhood Association

Ms. Cohen stated that Biocom was the statewide life sciences association. She explained that San Francisco (SF) and especially MB was home to a robust life science community and that Boston and Cambridge (MA) and the Bay Area were the nation’s leading life sciences clusters. Ms. Cohen affirmed that even during the pandemic, top talent wanted to be here. She reported that essential employees continued their work here to combat the pandemic and that lab work could not be done remotely. They did not anticipate an exodus of life science companies as in other sectors. Ms. Cohen related that the industry continued to prove its economic resilience and helped the city maintain a diverse tax space and that ARE had played a critical role in preserving and developing the life sciences industry in SF. However, they were running out of space to build new facilities as evidenced by this being the final commercial developable parcel in MB South. Ms. Cohen stated that Biocom supported efforts to expand this parcel as a way to increase industry capacity. This project reflected several years of thoughtful community input and would provide amenities that would serve the area. She reported that ARE had added contributions for childcare and was providing a school endowment to fund a life sciences pathway including hands-on lab experience, internships, and tours of local companies for aspiring scientists. This project would provide much-needed jobs for San Franciscans during these challenging times. She urged OCII to pass this item.
Ms. Davis stated that she had lived in MB for 40 years in the houseboat community. She explained that this project was significant in many ways; most importantly, as a viewpoint when people enter MB and also as a sign that this was a life sciences center, which would provide something else besides sports entertainment. Ms. Davis added that the collaboration with the school and the endowment was much needed and now SF would have a system for other partners to join in this effort and the MB area.

Mr. Bisoko stated that his union represented 40,000 carpenters in Northern California and 4,000 in San Francisco and that they supported this item. He explained that Local 22 had a long history of working with ARE and pointed out that they used a union general contractor (GC) and they hired local and paid area standard wages and benefits. Mr. Bisoko stressed the need to support developers like ARE, which was committed to the use of responsible union GC’s. This project would continue to help the life science industry grow and would help create high quality permanent construction jobs in the life sciences which would help the MB area and the Bay Area.

Mr. Powell stated that Powell Architecture was a small local company hired by ARE to assemble the concept schematic design package which was presented to Commissioners that day and that they had been working with ARE for 15 years. He had seen this large tract of land transformed over the years due to ARE through their commitment to high quality designs. Mr. Powell explained that MB was a center of life science innovation and had become an example to others seeking transformation. He reported that he had led several international life sciences planning groups on tour through the area redevelopment. Mr. Powell stressed that ARE exceeded the goals of participation for small local minority and women-owned companies and he commended ARE to OCII.

Mr. Agid was in support of these items and was excited to see this development move forward. He explained that this project and its uses would integrate well with the life sciences purposes and would add much-needed neighborhood-serving retail. Mr. Agid reported that ARE had created high quality projects before and had proven that they knew how to engage the community, receiving recommendations and approval from the MB CAC and neighborhood associations. He was pleased to hear that $1.5 million would be contributed to the MB School Operational endowment fund. Mr. Agid urged Commissioners to pass this item.

Commissioner Scott was pleased to hear that the community was involved with this project and was pleased about what was to come to this area. She inquired about putting tables and chairs outside the café due to COVID-19 and whether they had considered installing an indoor breezeway and air purifiers.

Mr. Henry deferred to Ms. Iwamoto to respond.

Ms. Iwamoto responded in the affirmative and that they were already working with a local and small business bionic landscape architect firm and had considered placing benches and chairs outside.

Commissioner Scott inquired about whether using benches and chairs would allow users to maintain social distancing.

Ms. Iwamoto responded that the benches were long and they would be able to leave 6’ between each individual and would allow 4 individuals to sit at the same time at the benches.

Vice-Chair Rosales stated that she was very pleased with the project.

Commissioner Brackett referred to the slide showing LBE and WBE percentage breakdown for professional services but inquired about whether they had a breakdown slide for construction development services, which was missing.
Ms. Nemeth responded in the negative because they were only at the schematic design phase and they had just confirmed that they had a GC, which would be responsible in securing the minority and small business participation per the terms of the MBS OPA.

Commissioner Brackett stated that they were very proud of the work that had been done on this project to make it more inclusive for local firms and also the fact that they were working with the SF Unified School District. She thanked them for their presentation today.

Chair Bustos stated how pleased he was that this project was moving forward.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 5(b) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(b).

Commissioner Brackett – absent
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes


Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 5(c) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(c).

Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 30-2020, APPROVING THE REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ON THE AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF A MIXED-USE LIFE SCIENCES FACILITY ON BLOCK 43, PARCEL 7 (1450 OWENS STREET); ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND, AUTHORIZING THE TRANSMITTAL OF THE REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, BE ADOPTED.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 5(d) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(d).
Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

**ADOPTION:** IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 31-2020, APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF A MIXED-USE LIFE SCIENCES FACILITY ON BLOCK 43, PARCEL 7 (1450 OWENS STREET); REFERRING THE PLAN AMENDMENT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOR ITS REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS; RECOMMENDING THE PLAN AMENDMENT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR ADOPTION; AND, ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT, BE ADOPTED.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 5(e) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(e).

Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

**ADOPTION:** IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 32-2020, CONDITIONALLY AUTHORIZING A NINTH AMENDMENT TO THE MISSION BAY SOUTH OWNER PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT WITH FOCIL-MB, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; AND, ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, BE ADOPTED.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 5(f) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(f).

Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

**ADOPTION:** IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 33-2020, APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE DESIGN FOR DEVELOPMENT FOR THE MISSION BAY SOUTH PROJECT AREA IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF A MIXED-USE LIFE SCIENCES FACILITY ON BLOCK 43, PARCEL 7 (1450 OWENS STREET); AND, ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT, BE ADOPTED.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 5(g) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(g).

Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes
ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 34-2020, CONDITIONALLY APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO THE REVISED MAJOR PHASE FOR BLOCK 41-43 APPLICATION AND THE BASIC CONCEPT / SCHEMATIC DESIGN FOR MISSION BAY SOUTH BLOCK 43, PARCEL 7 (1450 OWENS STREET) TO DEVELOP A MIXED-USE LIFE SCIENCES FACILITY; AND, ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, BE ADOPTED.

h) Conditionally approving amendments to the Mission Bay South Block 1 Major Phase Application and to the Basic Concept and Schematic Design for the Block 1 Hotel to add 49 hotel rooms for a total of 299 hotel rooms; providing notice that this approval is within the scope of the Mission Bay Redevelopment Project approved under the Mission Bay Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report ("FSEIR"), a Program EIR, and is adequately described in the FSEIR for the purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act; and, adopting Environmental Review Findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 35-2020)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Gretchen Heckman, Development Specialist, Mission Bay; Eric Smith, Operations Director, SOMA Hotel

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Kevin Carroll, President & CEO, The Hotel Council of San Francisco; Andrew Leonard, MB resident; Don Hokey, Field Representative, Carpenters Local 22; Kit Glant, Sr. Project Manager, Davis & Associates; Jonathan Wallace, Morrow Meadows Corporation

Mr. Carroll stated that the hotel market in San Francisco was suffering and looking to recover and that the proposed minor interior changes would help support the vitality of the SOMA Hotel. He reported that the Council applauded SOMA Hotel’s commitment to work with the union GC and union members to open as safely and quickly as possible and in that way bring back jobs. Mr. Carroll commended the Hotel for trying to identify and strengthen their LBE and SBE participation in the rooftop restaurant and bar. He was excited to see the first MB hotel open in the middle of next year in a neighborhood that was lacking lodging options. On behalf of the Hotel Council, Mr. Carroll urged Commissioners to pass this item.

Mr. Leonard stated that he lived on the same plot of land as the SOMA Hotel and he supported the plan for interior changes. He reported that other nearby residents were also in support of this. He was excited that friends visiting could come to the neighborhood and stay in a hotel nearby. Mr. Leonard reported that the Hotel had been very supportive of the community and had listened to and addressed all neighborhood concerns.

Mr. Hokey stated that he represented 4,000 carpenters in SF and 40,000 carpenters in No. California. He stated that he was 25-year member of Piledriver Union Local 34 and had worked on the first phase of the SOMA Hotel while facing challenge after challenge during that time. Mr. Hokey stressed the need to support businesses to help them adjust to the current market and help them complete their projects. He stated that Local 22 supported the Hotel’s request to replace suites with more rooms. The SOMA Hotel and their GC were committed to using union members in construction and providing good wages, health care and retirement for the workers on this project, including women, minorities, veterans and local hires. Mr. Hokey urged OCII to pass this item.

Ms. Glant stated that Davis & Associates was an LBE, women, minority-owned firm, which was a social impact communications consulting firm based in SF and which been working with the SOMA Hotel team since the beginning of this project. She explained that, since implementation, they had developed communications with the MB and SF community at large. She explained that her company supplied strategic communications and outreach and added that community engagement had been
key in the success of this project with the neighborhood community. Davis & Associates had participated in all the public neighborhood meetings and even with the challenges of COVID-19, the SOMA Hotel wanted to continue being available and responsive to the community. As a result, they facilitated a virtual community meeting in MB attended by over 100 participants for an update regarding public and outdoor spaces and to answer questions that came up. Ms. Glant stated that they were very proud of their work with the SOMA Hotel and looked forward to working with them on the grand opening in 2021.

Mr. Wallace stated that Morrow-Meadows was the electrical subcontractor on the SOMA Hotel project. Morrow Meadows was a WBE contractor and was very grateful that the SOMA Hotel was committed to working with WBE’s. He stated that they were grateful to be able to work on this project.

Commissioner Scott stated that she was very pleased to hear the public comments, especially the individual who stated how happy he was that his guests would be able to stay at the SOMA Hotel. She was pleased to hear that not only the workers but the community was pleased with the project and the outcome. Ms. Scott thanked staff for the presentation.

Commissioner Brackett echoed the sentiments of Commissioner Scott. She added that she was pleased to see more care and attention being paid to the small business industry to supply them with more job opportunities and very happy to see this move forward.

Vice-Chair Rosales commended the SOMA Hotel for reaching out to SBE firms for art consulting services which would be a great help to the artist community. She inquired about whether this would be a slow roll-out and about how the activation would be done.

Mr. Smith responded that the activation of the space and the extent at which they could offer their services would be directly related to whatever the current city, state and CDC guidelines might be at the time. He assured OCII that they would try to have as much open as possible but would have to follow the protocols in place with the hope that COVID-19 might be over by the time they did open.

Chair Bustos was very grateful to all participants and applauded the SOMA Hotel for working with local hire. He mentioned that his dad worked for Hathaway Dinwiddie and that he would take his children around the City to show his kids the sites he was working on with much pride.

Vice-Chair Rosales motioned to move Item 5(h) and Commissioner Brackett seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(h).

Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 35-2020, CONDITIONALLY APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO THE MISSION BAY SOUTH BLOCK 1 MAJOR PHASE APPLICATION AND TO THE BASIC CONCEPT AND SCHEMATIC DESIGN FOR THE BLOCK 1 HOTEL TO ADD 49 HOTEL ROOMS FOR A TOTAL OF 299 HOTEL ROOMS; PROVIDING NOTICE THAT THIS APPROVAL IS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE MISSION BAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT APPROVED UNDER THE MISSION BAY FINAL SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT ("FSEIR"), A PROGRAM EIR, AND IS ADEQUATELY DESCRIBED IN THE FSEIR FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND, ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, BE ADOPTED.
6. **Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items** - None

7. **Report of the Chair**

   Chair Bustos stated that he had no report.

8. **Report of the Executive Director**

   a) **Informational Memorandum on 1150 3rd Street (MBS Block 3E) Marketing Outcomes Report, a 119-unit affordable multifamily rental development, including one manager’s unit, Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion)**

   Executive Director Sesay indicated that there was an informational memo in Commissioners' packets. She reported that the developers in this project were Chinatown Community Development Center and Swords to Plowshares and that this project was completed in January 2020 and named after former Mayor Ed Lee. They had achieved 100% occupancy and as of June 2020, there were 119 affordable housing units. Ms. Sesay reported that 16 COP holders had applied and one holder was housed. Most of them (13) opted to either remain on the waitlist or were non-responsive. A total of 6 COP holders were housed in the Displaced Tenant Housing Preference (DTHP) program and for the first time OCII applied the Neighborhood Residence Housing Preference program and 14 units were set aside and housed. Ms. Sesay was pleased that 29% of the lottery units were black households. She encouraged Commissioners to visit this beautiful site.

**PUBLIC COMMENT** - None

9. **Commissioners’ Questions and Matters** – None

   Vice-Chair Rosales referred to the marketing outcomes for the Third Street development and inquired about what had happened to the other 15 COP holders that were not housed. She inquired about whether the waitlist mentioned by Executive Director Sesay was for this project or another OCII opportunity.

   Pam Sims (Senior Development Specialist, Housing Division) responded that it was the waitlist for that 3rd Street building. She explained that when a unit became vacant, they would notify the COP holders on the waitlist to find out if they still wanted it and whether they were ready to move into the unit.

   Commissioner Brackett thanked Vice-Chair Rosales for that question because it clarified the status of the COP holders.

10. **Closed Session**

11. **Adjournment**

    Commissioner Scott motioned to adjourn and Commissioner Brackett seconded that motion.

    Chair Bustos adjourned the meeting at 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jamie Cruz
Commission Secretary