MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE
COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HELD ON THE
21st DAY OF JULY 2020

The members of the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure of the City and County of San Francisco met in a regular meeting via teleconference at 1:00 p.m. on the 21st day of July 2020. The public was invited to watch the meeting live on SFGOVTV: https://sfgovtv.org/ccii
(The video stream was live approximately 15 minutes before the scheduled meeting start time.)

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: 1-408-418-9388 Access Code: 146 292 5173

In accordance with Governor Gavin Newsom’s statewide order for all residents to “Stay at Home” - and the numerous preceding local and state proclamations, orders and supplemental directions - aggressive directives were issued to slow down and reduce the spread of the COVID-19 virus. Individuals were encouraged to participate in the meetings remotely by calling during the public comment section of the meeting.

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

1. Recognition of a Quorum

Meeting was called to order at 1:01 p.m. by Chair Bustos. Roll call was taken.

Commissioner Brackett - present
Commissioner Scott – present
Vice-Chair Rosales - present
Chair Bustos - present

All Commissioners were present.

2. Announcements

a) The next regularly scheduled Commission meeting will be held on Tuesday, August 4, 2020 at 1:00 pm.

b) Announcement of Time Allotment for Public Comments from participants dialing in: Please be advised a member of the public has up to three minutes to make pertinent public comments on each agenda item unless the Commission adopts a shorter period on any item. Please note that during the public comment period, all dial-in participants from the public will be instructed to call a toll-free number and use their touch-tone phones to register any desire for public comment. Comments will be taken in the order that it was received. Audio prompts will signal to dial-in participants when their audio input has been enabled for commenting.

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN: 1-408-418-9388 Access Code: 146 292 5173
Secretary Cruz read instructions for the public to be able to call in.

3. **Report on actions taken at previous Closed Session meeting** – None

4. **Matters of Unfinished Business** - None

5. **Matters of New Business:**

   **CONSENT AGENDA**

   a) Approval of Minutes: Regular meeting of June 16, 2020

   b) Authorizing a First Amendment to the Personal Services Contract with Century Urban LLC, a California limited liability company, ("Contractor") to increase the contract amount by $31,000 for a total not to exceed amount of $76,000 and to extend the term by one year through June 30, 2021 to provide continued real estate advisory services for the negotiation of a disposition and development agreement for Transbay Block 4, Assessor’s Parcel No. 3739, Portion of Lot 008; Transbay Redevelopment Project Area (Action) (Resolution No. 16-2020)

   **PUBLIC COMMENT** – None

   Vice-Chair Rosales motioned to move Items 5(a) and (b) and Commissioner Scott seconded that motion.

   Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Items 5(a) and (b).

   Commissioner Brackett – yes
   Commissioner Scott - yes
   Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
   Chair Bustos – yes

   **ADOPTION:** IT WAS VOTED UNANIMOUSLY BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF JUNE 16, 2020, BE ADOPTED.

   **ADOPTION:** IT WAS VOTED UNANIMOUSLY BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION No. 16-2020, AUTHORIZING A FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT WITH CENTURY URBAN LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ("CONTRACTOR") TO INCREASE THE CONTRACT AMOUNT BY $31,000 FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF $76,000 AND TO EXTEND THE TERM BY ONE YEAR THROUGH JUNE 30, 2021 TO PROVIDE CONTINUED REAL ESTATE ADVISORY SERVICES FOR THE NEGOTIATION OF A DISPOSITION AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR TRANSBAY BLOCK 4, ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. 3739, PORTION OF LOT 008; TRANSBAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, BE ADOPTED.

   **REGULAR AGENDA**

   Agenda item nos. 5(c) through 5(e) related to the proposed plan amendment for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area were presented together but acted on separately

   c) Approving the Report to the Board of Supervisors on the Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project In Connection with an increase in hotel rooms on the Mission Bay South Block 1 Hotel Parcel, and authorizing transmittal of the Report to the Board of Supervisors, providing notice that this approval is within the scope of the Mission Bay Redevelopment Project approved under the Mission Bay Final Subsequent Environmental Impact
d) Approving an Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project in connection with an increase in hotel rooms on the Mission Bay South Block 1 Hotel Parcel; recommending adoption of the Redevelopment Plan Amendment by the Board of Supervisors and submitting the recommendation, including the Redevelopment Plan Amendment, to the Board of Supervisors, providing notice that this approval is within the Scope of the Mission Bay Redevelopment Project approved under the Mission Bay Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (“FSEIR”), a Program EIR and is adequately described in the FSEIR for the purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act; and adopting Environmental Findings Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 17-2020)

e) Conditionally authorizing an Eighth Amendment to the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement with FOCIL-MB, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, providing notice that this approval is within the scope of the Mission Bay Redevelopment Project approved under the Mission Bay Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (“FSEIR”), a program EIR and is adequately described in the FSEIR for the purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act; and adopting Environmental Findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 18-2020)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Gretchen Heckman, Development Specialist, Housing Division; Eric Smith, Director of Operations, SOMA Hotel; George Bridges, Contract Compliance Specialist; Amy Matabuena, Project Manager, SOMA Hotel

PUBLIC COMMENT – None

Commissioner Scott commented that since there were no changes on the exterior but only for the interior, she was in total support of this item and thanked the team for their work on this project.

Vice-Chair Rosales referred to the 4,000 sq. ft. retail space and wanted to know about the plans for activation; inquired about whether this would be in the realm of a food and beverage type location or something else.

Ms. Heckman responded that this would be used as restaurant space either on the ground or mezzanine floor of the hotel. She deferred to Mr. Smith for more detailed information.

Mr. Smith responded that currently they had not identified a tenant or a concept for the retail space at this time and were looking at many different options. He added that nothing had been finalized.

Commissioner Brackett referred to the local hiring piece and wanted to know if they had any information regarding the percentage of local hire and local businesses hired on the previous project.

Mr. Bridges responded that initially the project had come in at 52% SBE participation and 28.5% minority and 18.5% women-owned business. He reported that the project has continued to employ a diverse team of consultants. Regarding the hotel itself, Mr. Bridges reported that they had brought in a local African American architect for the restaurant and that Darolyn Davis, Principal in charge, of D&A Communications had been working with the SOMA Hotel since the beginning. Regarding construction, Mr. Bridges reported that they are at 14.5% SBE and WBE participation. He explained that tenant improvement work is still available for local-owned minority-owned businesses and they
are looking forward to increasing participation on the project. He reported that workforce for construction is approximately at 16% local hire and regarding end-use, Mr. Bridges referred to the Soma Hotel obligation for permanent end-use jobs. He added that they had met with the Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development ((OEWD) and with Soma Hotel representatives the previous week and there was significant opportunity for entry level local jobs at this project.

Commissioner Brackett inquired about plans to increase the hiring percentage from 14.5%, especially since, in light of COVID-19, many people would be out of work and looking for employment over the next year; she inquired about and requested more detail on tenant improvement hiring; she inquired about whether they planned to offer other amenities within the hotel that would be open to small business contracting like a coffee shop or a gift shop, since they might have additional retail space beside the restaurant.

To the hiring percentage question, Mr. Bridges responded in the affirmative. He stated that they had recently met with OEWD to strategize for the next annual report and to increase local hire and to hold developers and contractors accountable for giving first consideration to City residents. Mr. Bridges mentioned that they were also working with Citybuild and with Ken Nim and were still in the middle of analyzing that data. He added that by next month they hoped to have a better strategy moving forward. Mr. Bridges deferred to Ms. Matabuena for more detail.

Ms. Matabuena responded that they had two ground floor retail spaces and a rooftop bar under construction and those two represented opportunities for hiring through the contractor.

Mr. Smith responded that currently the only additional retail space besides the ground level were the rooftop restaurant and bar. He referred to the possibility of offering a small kiosk-type coffee shop on the ground level. This would represent the only opportunities that they would have at this time.

Chair Bustos returned to the local hire issue. He reminded the audience that Mission Bay (MB) was a former redevelopment area which was a community resource. However, at the end of the day, all of this was really about doing the right thing—hiring San Franciscans to build their own city. He hoped that Mr. Smith and Ms. Matabuena would be able to work with Mr. Bridges and other OCII staff because this was an opportunity for the SOMA Hotel to get involved in lifting up the community. Mr. Bustos reminded everyone that OCII was very dedicated to that issue and considered it less a transaction and more as an investment in the community and the City. Mr. Bustos stated that given this early start, this was an opportunity for SOMA Hotel to do more with this local hire issue.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item (c) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(c).

Commissioner Brackett – abstained
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED BY THREE COMMISSIONERS WITH ONE ABSTAINED THAT RESOLUTION NO. 17-2020, APPROVING THE REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ON THE AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT IN CONNECTION WITH AN INCREASE IN HOTEL ROOMS ON THE MISSION BAY SOUTH BLOCK 1 HOTEL PARCEL, AND AUTHORIZING TRANSMITTAL OF THE REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, PROVIDING NOTICE THAT THIS APPROVAL IS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE MISSION BAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT APPROVED UNDER THE MISSION BAY FINAL SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (“FSEIR”), A PROGRAM EIR AND IS ADEQUATELY DESCRIBED IN THE FSEIR FOR THE
PURPOSES OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, BE ADOPTED.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item (d) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(d).

Commissioner Brackett – abstained  
Commissioner Scott - yes  
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes  
Chair Bustos – yes  

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED BY THREE COMMISSIONERS WITH ONE ABSTAINED THAT RESOLUTION NO. 18-2020, APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT IN CONNECTION WITH AN INCREASE IN HOTEL ROOMS ON THE MISSION BAY SOUTH BLOCK 1 HOTEL PARCEL; RECOMMENDING ADOPTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND SUBMITTING THE RECOMMENDATION, INCLUDING THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT, TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, PROVIDING NOTICE THAT THIS APPROVAL IS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE MISSION BAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT APPROVED UNDER THE MISSION BAY FINAL SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (“FSEIR”), A PROGRAM EIR AND IS ADEQUATELY DESCRIBED IN THE FSEIR FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, BE ADOPTED.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item (e) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(e).

Commissioner Brackett – abstained  
Commissioner Scott - yes  
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes  
Chair Bustos – yes  

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED BY THREE COMMISSIONERS WITH ONE ABSTAINED THAT RESOLUTION NO. 19-2020, CONDITIONALLY AUTHORIZING AN EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO THE MISSION BAY SOUTH OWNER PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT WITH FOCIL-MB, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, PROVIDING NOTICE THAT THIS APPROVAL IS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE MISSION BAY REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT APPROVED UNDER THE MISSION BAY FINAL SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (“FSEIR”), A PROGRAM EIR AND IS ADEQUATELY DESCRIBED IN THE FSEIR FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; AND ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA, BE ADOPTED.

f) Approving an amended and restated 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and authorizing the Executive Director to conform, as needed, the plan to applicable law and administer said plan in accordance with its terms (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 20-2020)  

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Bree Mawhorter, Deputy Director, Finance & Administration
PUBLIC COMMENT – None

Commissioner Scott stated that it was very generous and thoughtful in light of COVID-19 to consider the families and was pleased to hear about what they were doing in San Francisco to help their employees and to keep everyone stable. She was in support of this item.

Vice-Chair Rosales motioned to move Item (f) and Commissioner Brackett seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(f).

Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED UNANIMOUSLY BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 20-2020, APPROVING AN AMENDED AND RESTATED 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO CONFORM, AS NEEDED, THE PLAN TO APPLICABLE LAW AND ADMINISTER SAID PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS TERMS, BE ADOPTED.

g) Delegating authority to the Executive Director to adopt Successor Agency Policies during the Public Health Emergency consistent with the Compensation Plan for COVID-19 of the City and County of San Francisco (Discussion and Action) (Resolution No. 21-2020)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Monica Davis Stean, HR Administrative Services Manager

PUBLIC COMMENT – None

Commissioner Brackett inquired about whether the changes to the paid leave caps was solely for the sake of the pandemic and whether it would go back to the way it was after the pandemic or whether it was a permanent change.

Ms. Davis Stean responded that it was related to the public health emergency and once the public health emergency was lifted, it would go back to regular caps.

Commissioner Scott stated that she was deeply moved by the sense of integrity and compassion for employees at this time.

Vice-Chair Rosales referred to budget and additional leaves and inquired about whether these were separate leaves coming from federal funds and local leaves and wanted confirmation about whether they had the budget to cover all these leaves.

Ms. Davis Stean responded in the affirmative. She clarified that there was no federal compensation level for the federal leaves but rather, it was absorbed through the OCII budget.

Executive Director Sesay added that this was really a reallocation and making available flex time to staff and giving more vacation and sick leave time.
Chair Bustos was very pleased that OCII was taking the steps to make sure that staff was covered during the pandemic and wanted to make sure they knew that they mattered to the Commissioners and to the City. He thanked Executive Director Sesay for her leadership in getting this work completed.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item (g) and Commissioner Brackett seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(g).

Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED UNANIMOUSLY BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 21-2020, DELEGATING AUTHORITY TO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ADOPT SUCCESSOR AGENCY POLICIES DURING THE PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY CONSISTENT WITH THE COMPENSATION PLAN FOR COVID-19 OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, BE ADOPTED.

6. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items - None

7. Report of the Chair

Chair Bustos stated that he had no report.

8. Report of the Executive Director

a) Informational Memorandum on two Marketing Outcomes Reports on affordable housing units at The Avery (Transbay Block 8): 1) 450 Folsom Street, a mixed-income rental tower with 70-inclusionary affordable units; and, 2) 250 Fremont Street, 100% affordable podium with 80 OCII-funded affordable units; Transbay Project Area (Discussion)

b) Informational Memorandum on the Marketing Outcomes Report for 10 Kennedy Place, 10 Innes Court (Blocks 56 and 57), and 528 Hudson, 23 and 33 Kirkwood Avenue (Block 55) Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 1; eleven for-sale inclusionary below market rate units affordable at 80% Area Median Income; Hunters Point Shipyard Project Area (Discussion)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Pamela Sims, Senior Development Specialist, Housing Division; Maria Benjamin, Deputy Director, Home Ownership & Below Market Rate Programs, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD)

Executive Director Sesay reported that some of the staff had attended the ribbon cutting event for the opening of 250 Fremont Street with amenities located within the site. She added that 13 Certificate of Preference (COP) holders had applied and so far, they were very happy with the results. Ms. Sesay explained that the 450 Folsom was within the Hilltop and Shipyard Phase 1 which had 11 units aggregate for inclusionary BMR units with no COP holders listed; however, that had representatives from within the City who had applied. She added that everything was occupied now.

PUBLIC COMMENT – None

Commissioner Brackett stated that she had attended the opening of the Avery and was very pleased to hear the comments by the new residents and to see the finished site. She referred to one individual who had successfully moved into the unit. However, the applicant had been questioned
during the screening process about a couple of minor credit issues and lack of resources and was almost excluded from getting into the unit. Ms. Brackett inquired as to whether there was any additional assistance or resources available for individuals in this situation.

Executive Director Sesay stated that they were being as aggressive as possible in allowing for access to housing. She deferred to Ms. Sims for additional comment.

Ms. Sims stated that they had worked with the lease-up team on several occasions. She was surprised to hear that one of their applicants had had such a difficult time because the lease-up team always started from a place where they were trying to get people into housing, rather than be excluded. On the properties moving forward, they were working toward 100% occupancy to ensure that individuals had every opportunity to take advantage of this new lease-up project. Ms. Sims stated that in the future if Commissioners heard of an applicant having difficulty, they could forward that individual to her and she would personally get involved.

Vice-Chair Rosales thanked staff for the reports which helped her understand how individuals were entering the housing market and stated that the testimonials were very gratifying to her. She inquired about COP applicants for the Avery and referred to the fact that the report mentioned that a couple of the applicants were over-income. Ms. Rosales inquired about how they were helping the over-income applicants that may not qualify for this housing.

Ms. Sims responded that Sonja McDaniel, COP program director with MOHCD, tracked the individuals and home ownership opportunities, that were in the pipeline. She reported that some individuals were higher than 50% but were falling into the 60-65% but not quite into the 70-80% AMI level, where homes were for sale. So that left some applicants over-income for some rental properties but under-income for home ownership. That said, Ms. Sims reminded Commissioners that OCII had approved funding for some housing in MB that was tiered up to 80% AMI, so that households still interested in renting would be able to apply for that. She also announced a tiered home ownership opportunity coming up in MB on Block 9A, which would be tiered up well enough for COP holders to be eligible.

Vice-Chair Rosales inquired about whether there were any plans to help over-income and under-income candidates with workforce development to help them meet the 80%. She stressed that they did not want to lose interest from candidates who landed within that gap. Ms. Rosales stated that she was pleased to see that applicants were coming from District 10; however, she expressed surprise to not see representation in the African American demographic.

Ms. Sims responded that the group of COP holders they were currently working with were predominantly looking for rental opportunities. She and Ms. Benjamin were hoping that once they completed the RFP they would find applicants that would be interested in home-ownership.

Executive Director Sesay added that they would keep a list and revisit the processes and policies and bring in MOHCD and other City partner and other resources to work on the under-income and over-income issue. She added that there was more to come. To the demographic question, Ms. Sesay responded that they had been working with MOHCD to address this issue but this was a matter that included outreach, marketing, and timing and was not sure anyone on the team could respond to Commissioner Rosales’ comment. Ms. Sesay added that sometimes people were not ready, were unresponsive, and that sometimes they were not interested in the location. However, she assured Commissioners that they would work harder to include this demographic and that they would investigate further.

Ms. Sims added that they were considering hiring an intern to make some positive changes to their outreach plan. She deferred to Ms. Benjamin for more detail on that issue.
Ms. Benjamin stated that racial equity and serving black and brown applicants the best way possible was of utmost importance to MOHCD. She explained that for the past five years they had been focused on improving outreach and creating a connection to the black and brown communities to ensure they were directing developers to where those populations were getting their news information. Ms. Benjamin reported that they had more black and brown applicants now than ever before but trying to bring the black community back to the City is a challenge. Regarding the intern, Ms. Benjamin stated they were using Facebook and other media approaches for targeted outreach to get to this demographic. She explained that up to now they had been leaving it up to the developer to decide on the correct approach for social media, but now they were directing the developer on how to get better results.

Commissioner Brackett referred to the use of zoom calls within the black community and wondered if MOHCD might consider using zoom for COP holders and the community as a way to communicate housing opportunities coming up within the next six months.

Ms. Benjamin agreed with that idea and stated that she would take it back to her team.

9. Commissioners’ Questions and Matters

Commissioner Brackett referred to a meeting where different Commissioners had been assigned to four different groups to concentrate on and wanted to know when they could get back to that work. She suggested they hold zoom calls for the four different areas they agreed to work in and invite the appropriate public groups, such as small business or contractors, to join the zoom calls. Ms. Brackett stated that in this way they could find out how these groups were faring during COVID-19 and find ways to help them during the pandemic.

Executive Director Sesay responded that they were already working on this but needed to decide how they wanted to receive this feedback. They would be hearing from her team soon.

Commissioner Scott stated that she had read that after three years, small businesses were no longer considered a small business and inquired about what happened to the small business qualification after three years.

Executive Director Sesay responded that they basically graduated to another level which was set by their income after three years. She suggested they talk offline about this topic with George Bridges, (Contract Compliance Specialist) and with Raymond Lee (Contract Compliance Supervisor) because they were the experts in this matter.

Commissioner Scott wanted to take a moment to acknowledge the two Civil Rights giants, Congressman John Robert Lewis and Rev. Cordy Tindell “C.T.” Vivian, who had recently passed away. Ms. Scott stated that she saw parallels between OCII, always trying to right the wrongs of redevelopment, and Mr. Lewis and Mr. Vivian, who had been trying to right the wrongs of racial injustice all their lives.

10. Closed Session – None

11. Adjournment

Commissioner Scott motioned to adjourn, and Commissioner Brackett seconded that motion.

Commissioner Scott read a quote from John Lewis in his memory. “When you see something that is not right, something that is not fair, not just, then do something and sometimes that will bring you into trouble, but it is good trouble and a necessary trouble”.
Chair Bustos requested a moment of silence in honor of Civil Rights Leaders, Congressman John Robert Lewis and Rev. Cordy Tindell “C.T.” Vivian and adjourned the meeting in their honor at 2:37 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Jaimie Cruz
Commission Secretary