

1) Attendance:

Present: Donna Dell'Era, Michael D. Freeman, Yoyo Murphy, Terezia Nemeth

Excused Absence: Sarah Davis, Catherine Sharpe

Absent: Alfonso Felder

2) Discussion / Action Item: 900 7th Street (Amazon) Project - 10 minutes

Description of Item: Update on the proposed project schedule at 900 7th Street, including recent San Francisco Board of Supervisor Findings and overview the project's upcoming environmental review process.

Copy of Presentation: *none*

Presenter: Stephen Maduli-Williams (Amazon) and Seema Adina (Amazon)

Discussion highlights

- Project started 3 years ago and been on pause for 2.5 years, had a Town Hall previously and received feedback from community.
- New proposed project to fit design and character of neighborhood. The intent is to make the proposal more community friendly.
- Goal to make the project the most sustainable it can be, including infrastructure for their proposed EV fleet.
- Project submitted Conditional Use application in Fall 2023, received first comment letter in January.
 - Amazon and its design team is still working through comments from various City agencies but will have a resubmittal soon.
- 3 types of distribution centers
 - Regional fulfillment center (3 million sq ft) where regional products are pulled from a centralized location. Nearest regional centers are in Stockton and Tracy.
 - Sortation center – Nearest is located in Fremont. Amazon uses UPS, USPS and its own fleet to deliver products; moving more towards delivering products with its own fleet.
 - Last mile delivery – have over 400 facilities to do this, the closest is in Bayview on Tolken Street and one in South City.
- 900 7th Street Project is proposed to be 600k sq ft and 3 stories; there will be no off-site parking.
- EV Van Fleet will be quiet. Vans are planned to leave the facility at 9:50am, after rush hour, and will return at 7 to 8pm.
- Anticipate creating of 400 to 500 jobs.
- Project costs estimate \$600 - 700 million in costs.
- There will be continued community engagement through the entitlement process and may offer tours to existing facilities to community members.
- Facility would be open around 2028.

Q&A Highlights

- Amazon will coordinate with Golden State Warriors to explain its planned operations at the site given 7th street is an arterial road.
- Construction start of the project is not yet determined.
- There will be a full EIR completed and Amazon is finalizing their consultants for this work.
- The number of trucks in the fleet depends on the volume of the facilities, which is yet to be determined.
- Amazon will coordinate with the Giants and Golden State Warriors on event and game days.
- Product to the facility will come in overnight from 7pm to 4 am.
- Amazon is discussing truck access into the site with City staff to determine the best streets to use.
- Amazon will consider hightide events which will cause both bridges across Mission Creek Channel to close.
- Amazon is looking to do a pilot E-bike delivery program in SF and NYC.
- Amazon is currently considering the following community benefits:
 - Its overall redevelopment effort by building on the site - turning a derelict building into something new, including landscaping.
 - Construction of public open space; will coordinate with the City on possible protected bike lanes.
- Amazon is open to subsequent conversations of public benefits.
- Product from the 7th St facility will be delivered to all neighborhoods in the City.
 - Amazon is planning for vans to leave the facility from Carolina Street and use 16th Street.
- Amazon plans to return to MB CAC for Town Hall type of meetings.
- Amazon is closing the Espirit facility in Dogpatch at the end of month and is working with Dogpatch Neighborhood Association to start a \$2m construction project including new sidewalk and new landscaping.
- Community members reiterated an interest in understanding the studies that will be carried out through the EIR – traffic and overall remediation if needed.
- Amazon will connect with SFUSD to plan around school drop off and pick up timing as well.

Discussion item - no action taken.

3) Discussion/Action Item: Mission Bay School Update – 10 minutes

Description of Item: Update on project schedule and overview of Resource Alignment Initiative by San Francisco Unified School District Staff

Copy of Presentation: https://sfocii.org/sites/default/files/2024-07/Item%203_June%202024%20Mission%20Bay%20School%20Community%20Update.pdf

Presenter: Tiffany Gong, Project Manager (SFUSD) Kate Levitt, Communications Director Bond Program (SFUSD)

Discussion Highlights

- Steel started earlier in the month of June and will be completed by end of month. Then concrete pouring will begin.
- Working with the SF Arts Commission on East entry to the school building. There was a lot of interest - 120 artist applied. 15 artists were selected to a short list and this was narrowed to 4 finalists with site-specific proposals.
- Goal for artist procurement is to have the artist under contract by Oct 2024. Design and installation will occur when construction is complete.
- Finalist artists have been doing outreach and research and have been talking to students and the community.
- SFUSD is hosting high school students at the MBS School site to give overview of construction industry in early July.
- Construction of the MBA school is on track still for a July or Aug 2025 completion.
- SFUSD is currently working on balancing budget and allocating resources, which will mean certain schools will close and merger with others.
- June 25th Board of Education meeting will review community input criteria on how to make a decision on school closures. SFUSD expects to have a list of schools to be closed in September, and in December, the Board of Ed will vote on the closing/mergers of schools on this list.

Q&A Highlights

- SFUSD was encouraged to think about protecting the art piece from vandalism and graffiti.
- Enrollment is expected to happen in Fall 2024, with a lottery at the end January for the 25-26 school year. The school is expected to open for learning in August of 2025. If the Resource Alignment process takes longer, the alternative plan will be to delay opening until August 2026.

Discussion item - no action taken

1. Announcements and Updates – 10 minutes

- **OCII** – Gretchen Heckman provided an update.
 - SFUSD is presenting at the OCII Commission at its June 18th meeting to give an update on the Mission Bay School schedule and its Resource Alignment work.
 - Legislation delegating the acceptance of Bayfront Park to the Port was introduced at BOS on June 4th and will have its first reading at Land Use committee on July 8th.
- **Mission Bay Development Group** Luke Stewart provided an update.
 - Expect substantial completion by the end of the month.
 - P19 will be starting upon substantial completion of Bayfront Park and will have a 9-month construction period.
 - Future Parks Workshop occurred June 2nd. The workshop included a walk and conversation after. 2nd workshop will be scheduled for mid- July. The date will be circulated to the CAC mailing list.
- **San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department** Lena Orlando, Head Gardener, provided an update.
 - RPD has been hosting a lot of corporate volunteers in parks.
 - Gardeners are putting down mulch.

- There has been ongoing work on irrigation, infrastructure, trash cans and graffiti abatement.

Public Comment

- For dog park issues and the enforcement of rules, RPD staff directed community members to call the ranger dispatch line and a ranger will respond.
 - A community member reported a drinking fountain is leaking at the Commons.
 - A CAC member requested RPD staff report on the allocation of resources after one year of managing Mission Bay Parks and park maintenance scores at a future meeting.
 - Community members requested that rangers get out vehicles more often and that a closer watch happen when parks are closed to better control graffiti and vandalism issues
 - A community member requested that a list of gardeners assigned to each park be shared publicly.
- **San Francisco Port** No Port representative was present.

2. **Chair Update** – No chair update.

3. **Public Comment (Persons wishing to address the members on non-agenda, but CAC related matters)** – 5 minutes

- A community member questioned why commercial vendors were involved in the June workshop on future open space planning and expressed a desire to have the conversation also imagine entirely different possibilities for the Interim Uses parcels. The importance of a transparent process with community input was stressed.
- MBDG stated that they do not have any ownership stake in the commercial vendors, and the vendors were a part of the workshop to explain current operations and to hear feedback from the community.
- The future open space parcels are a joint design effort between master developer MBDG, OCII and RPD. Each park in Mission Bay has been designed this way, with the master developer taking the lead in concept design and responsible for final design and construction. Future open space parcels will follow the same process, including approval of Schematic Designs at the OCII Commission.
- A community member praised the first workshop expressing that they felt MBDG and their consultant team did a strong job of facilitating conversations and recording ideas and comments.
- A community member requested that residential owners who pay into the CFD be given preference for open space planning design. OCII responded that this is not how community has been defined in the past, it would not be possible or desirable to change that and that all feedback and ideas are needed.
- A community member asked about rent revenue from the Interim Uses. MBDG responded that the revenues go towards covering costs of operations as well as replenishing the master developer's initial investment towards the infrastructure improvements of the sites. The master developer has a ground lease with the City for the parcels, and, under certain conditions, would also need to share a portion of sublease revenue with the City.

- A community member shared that an issue with sidewalk misalignment near their residential building which had caused a significant tripping injury and posed a danger to pedestrians. The MBCAC Co-Chair reiterated that sidewalk corrections are the responsibility of the adjacent property owner, and in this case, the HOA would be responsible for repairs.
- A community member brought up the sidewalk and paver area outside of 626 Mission Bay Boulevard North. The Co-chair stated that tree roots are the responsibility of the City.
- Community members can check the Thrive City Calendar for details on summer events

Meeting Closure – 6:32 PM