# 2012-2013 Multifamily Project Marketing Report for OCII Prepared by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development – 5/1/14

## **Summary**

Since February 1, 2012, 6 former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency projects have initiated marketing of their units pursuant to marketing plans reviewed and approved by Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) staff but before implementation of the proposed memorandum of understanding between the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII) and MOHCD. Of the 6 projects that have initiated marketing, 5 projects completed initial marketing and 1 project is still in progress. All projects were subject to utilizing the Certificate of Preference (COP) program as administered by MOHCD as well as their own project-specific occupancy requirements pursuant to their respective capital or operating subsidy program requirements. The projects where 100% of the units are permanent supportive housing serving formerly homeless households will only have COP holders to the extent the COP holders are within the target homeless population.

Below is a table summarizing the outcomes of the Certificate of Preference program in each of the completed lease-ups and a description applicable target populations of each project. Also included in this report are demographics of the initial lease-ups when available. Developers did not consistently collect demographic information of their applicant pools, nor were required to collect such data under their approved- marketing plans. Collection of demographic data will be required for all future projects.

Also included in this report either as attachments or summarized within the body of this report are descriptions of why COP holders did not move in to the former SFRA projects they had applied to and if they were able to be housed at other affordable housing developments.

## **Projects Leased 2012-2013**

Project Name	Total # of	Target	# of COP	# of COP	Other	Notes
/ Project	Units	Population	Holder	Holders	applicable	
Address			Applicants	Housed	preferences	
Mary Helen	100 units plus	Seniors age 55	18	8	N/A	20 homeless
Rogers Senior	1 manager's	and over at or				units through
Community –	unit	below 50% of				Shelter-Plus-
701 Golden		area median				Care units
Gate Ave		income (AMI)				referred by
		with 20 units				HSA and DPH;
		for homeless				23 households
		seniors who				receiving
		meet Shelter-				rental
		Plus-Care				assistance
		eligibility				through
		criteria				MOHCD
						subsidy

Project Name / Project	Total # of Units	Target Population	# of COP Holder	# of COP Holders	Other applicable	Notes
Address			Applicants	Housed	preferences	
Hunters View	106 units plus	79	8	0	Pursuant to	60% of the
Phase 1 – 112	1 manager's	replacement			the Bayview	units in Phase
Middlepoint	unit	public housing			Hunters Point	1 were public
Road	dine	units for			Redevelopme	housing
Noau		qualified			nt Plan:	replacement
		Hunters View			Preference 1 =	housing units
		residents and			Hunters Point	and required
					Certificate of	to be
		subsidized by the San			Preference	
						occupied by
		Francisco			Holders;	existing
		Housing			Preference 2 =	qualified
		Authority; 27			other	Hunters View
		units available			Certificate of	residents
		to qualified			Preference	
		COP Holders			Holders;	
		and other			Preference 3 =	
		applicants at			rent burdened	
		or below 50%			or assisted	
		of AMI			housing	
		pursuant to			residents	
		the Bayview			paying more	
		Hunters Point			than 50% of	
		Redevelopme			income	
		nt Plan			toward rent;	
					Preference 4 =	
					San Francisco	
					residents and	
					workers;	
					Preference 5 =	
					members of	
					the general	
					public	
Bayview Hill	72 units plus 1	Homeless	2	2	N/A	Project fully
Gardens –	manager's	families or				subsidized by
1075 LeConte	unit	transition-age				the Local
Ave		youth with 55				Operating
		units referred				Subsidy
		by the Human				Program or
		Service				McKinney
		Agency's				Shelter Plus
		Access Points				Care – all
		and 17 units				units referred
		referred from				by Human
		the Shelter				Service
		Plus Care				Agency
		system				
		эуэссии		]		

Project Name / Project	Total # of Units	Target Population	# of COP Holder	# of COP Holders	Other applicable	Notes
Address		administered	Applicants	Housed	preferences	
		by the Human				
		Services				
		Agency				
Rene	120 units	10 units	0	0	N/A	Project fully
Cazenave		required to be				subsidized by
Apts – 25		occupied by				the Local
Essex St		Mental Health				Operating
		Services Act				Subsidy
		qualified				Program – all
		households; 9				units referred
		units required				by Dept of
		to be				Public
		occupied by				Health's
		Housing for				Direct Access
		Persons With				to Housing
		AIDS qualified				Program
		households; 101 units for				
		homeless				
		households				
		referred by				
		the				
		Department				
		of Public				
		Health's				
		Direct Access				
		to Housing				
		program				
Natoma Court	59 units plus 1	Families at or	9	0	Pursuant to	
– 474 Natoma	manager's	below 60%			the South of	
St	unit	AMI with			Market	
		preference to			Redevelopme	
		COP holders			nt Plan:	
		and other			Preference #1	
		occupancy			– COP	
		preferences			holders;	
		required under the			Preference #2	
		South of			= persons displaced	
		Market			from housing	
		Redevelopme			with the	
		nt Plan			Project Area	
					by the Loma	
					Prieta	
					Earthquake;	

Project Name / Project	Total # of Units	Target Population	# of COP Holder	# of COP Holders	Other applicable	Notes
Address			Applicants	Housed	preferences	
					Preference #3	
					& 4 =persons	
					displaced by	
					Redevelopme	
					nt or City	
					action;	
					Preference 5	
					& 6 =	
					residents of	
					the SOMA	
					Project Area	
					or SOMA	
					residents in	
					census tracts	
					176.01 and	
					178	
1180 4 <sup>th</sup>	149 units plus	50 units	11	TBD	Preference 1 =	50 units
Street	1 manager's	required to be			COP holders;	subsidized by
	unit	occupied for			Preference 2 =	the Local
		homeless			small family	Operating
		families			daycare	Subsidy
		referred by			operators (for	Program –
		the Human			2 units);	those units to
		Services			Preference 3 =	be filled by
		Agency; 99			members of	referrals from
		units for			the general	the Human
		families at or			public	Service
		below 50%				Agency's
		AMI of which				Access Points
		2 units are				
		targeted to				
		small family				
		daycare				
		operators				
		who meet the				
		income				
		requirements				

## **Mary Helen Rogers Senior Community**

Total number of housing applications received – 1,722 for 80 non-homeless units

**COP Holder summary** – Out of the 18 COP holder applicants, 10 did not move in for various reasons. 3 withdrew their applications; 3 earned more than 50% of area median income (in 2012, \$36,050 for 1-person household or \$41,200 for a 2-person household); 3 did not meet the age requirement (were under 55 years old), and 1 had an eviction history. The project developer anticipated receiving 38 project-based Section 8 subsidies from the San Francisco Housing Authority to assist low-income applicants to Mary Helen Rogers Senior Community, including COP holders; however, the Housing Authority rescinded its commitment due to procurement process errors. The rescission left 38 households with a substantial rent burden, some paying 50%-100% of their income toward rent. In response the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development provided a \$1,050,000 loan to the developer to fund a rent subsidy reserve so that those households would pay on average no more than 40% of their income toward rent. All 8 COP holders currently living at Mary Helen Rogers Senior Community are having their rents supplemented from this rent subsidy reserve.

**Demographic data** – The following types of data was available from the initial leasing: income of applicants and household size.

### Income level:

>60% AMI	8%
50-59% AMI	7%
40-49% AMI	18%
30-39% AMI	26%
20-29% AMI	22%
<20% AMI	18%

## Household Size:

1 person	48.5 %
2 people	39.4%
3 people	12.1%

## **Hunters View Phase 1**

**Total number of housing applications received** – 142 for 27 non-public housing replacement housing units

**COP Holder summary** – Out of the 8 COP holder applicants, 8 did not move in for various reasons. 2 withdrew their applications; 6 did not earn enough income to afford the rent. At time of application, all households had to sign acknowledgment of the receipt of the Ground Rules for Denial of Rental Application. Those ground rules specified that the combined gross household income of applicants for a particular unit must be 2.5 times 1 month's rent. However, of the 8 COP holder applicants for Hunters View Phase 1, 1 moved to Mary Helen Rogers Senior Community, 2 moved to Candlestick Heights at 833 Jamestown Avenue and 1 moved to Rincon Green at 333 Harrison (both projects are affordable rental developments).

**Demographic data** – The following types of data was available from the initial leasing: income of applicants, household size, and residence at time of application.

## Income level:

>50% AMI	5%
40-49% AMI	13%
30-39% AMI	16%
20-29% AMI	21%
<10% AMI	45%

## Household size:

1 person	20%
2 people	22%
3 people	18%
4 people	20%
5 people	8%
6 people	8%
7 people	2%
8 people	1%
9 people	1%
10 people	1%

## Residence at time of application:

San Francisco	76%
East Bay	17%
Peninsula	4%
South Bay	1%
Solano County/Sacramento	2%
Marin	0%
Out of state	1%

## **Bayview Hill Gardens**

**COP Holder summary** – all 2 COP holder applicants moved into Bayview Hill Gardens

**Demographic data** – The following types of data was available from the initial leasing: race of applicants.

## Race:

African American	54%
Latino	18%
Caucasian	17%
Filipino	4%
American Indian	2%
Asian	3%
Moroccan	1%
Samoan	1%

## **Rene Cazenave Apartments**

**COP Holder summary** – there were no COP holder applicants since all units were referred by the Department of Public Health

Demographic data – not available

### **Natoma Court**

## Total number of housing applications received – 2,793 for 59 units

**COP Holder summary** – Out of the 9 COP holder applicants, 0 were housed for various reasons. 3 were interviewed but were either nonresponsive to numerous follow-up correspondence requesting additional application information or were denied due to criminal history, an inability to demonstrate an ability to pay rent, or credit issues; 1 was scheduled for an interview 3 different times but did not show up for all 3 interview appointments and was nonresponsive to voicemail messages or mailed correspondence; 2 were denied due to credit history, appealed the denial, were given an opportunity to provide documentation with their appeal but that requested documentation was not submitted; 3 were denied due to credit history and unlawful detainer filings. Two COP applicants for Natoma did however find affordable housing units. One was placed at Bayview Hill Gardens and 1 was placed at AVA (BMR unit).

**Demographic data** – The following types of data was available from the initial leasing: race, ethnicity and household size.

#### Race:

American Indian or Alaska Native	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African	1%
American	
American Indian or Alaska Native and White	0.1%
Asian	36.4%
Asian and White	1.7%
Black or African American	16.3%
Black or African American and White	1.8%
Declined to State	19.9%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1.5%
Other	8.7%
White	11.6%

## Ethnicity:

· · · · /	
Declined to State	37.5%
Hispanic	15.9%
Non-Hispanic	46.6%

#### Household Size:

1 person	27.7 %

2 people	25.5%
3 people	21.2%
4 people	15.7%
5 people	6.7%
6 people	2.3%
7 people	0.9%

# 1180 4<sup>th</sup> Street

Total number of applications received – 2,983 for 99 non-homeless units

**COP Holder summary** – 11 COP holders have applied and their applications are still being processed. We found out today however, that one of the COP holders will withdraw their application because they are in contract and have been approved to purchase a re-sale BMR.

**Demographic data** – not available since application processing still underway