

London N. Breed
MAYOR



Miguel Bustos
CHAIR

Mara Rosales
VICE-CHAIR

Bivett Brackett
Dr. Carolyn Ransom-Scott
COMMISSIONERS

Nadia Sesay
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE
COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE
OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HELD ON THE
6TH DAY OF AUGUST 2019**

The members of the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure of the City and County of San Francisco met in a regular meeting at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 416, in the City of San Francisco, California, at 1:00 p.m. on the 6th day of August 2019, at the place and date duly established for holding of such a meeting.

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

1. Recognition of a Quorum

Meeting was called to order at 1:01 p.m. Roll call was taken.

Commissioner Brackett - present
Commissioner Scott – present
Vice-Chair Rosales - present
Chair Bustos - present

All Commissioners were present.

2. Announcements

A. The next scheduled Commission meeting will be a regular meeting held on Tuesday, August 20, 2019 at 1:00 p.m. (City Hall, Room 416).

B. Announcement of Prohibition of Sound Producing Electronic Devices during the Meeting

Please be advised that the ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing of or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic device.

C. Announcement of Time Allotment for Public Comments

3. Report on actions taken at previous Closed Session meeting – None

4. Matters of Unfinished Business - None

5. Matters of New Business:

CONSENT AGENDA - None

REGULAR AGENDA

- a) Commending and expressing appreciation to Marily Mondejar for her services upon the occasion of her departure from her position as Commissioner of the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure (Discussion and Action)(Resolution 22-2019)

Chair Bustos, Vice-Chair Rosales, Commissioner Brackett and Commissioner Scott all read portions of the resolution honoring Ms. Mondejar for her service to OCII from 2012 to 2019, including serving as Vice-Chair and Chair of the Commission.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Helen Marte-Bautista, International Hotel Senior Housing

Ms. Marte-Bautista stated that she was the former Commissioner of the Public Library and on the Board of International Hotel Senior Housing. She reported that her Board had recently asked Ms. Mondejar to become one of its Directors, to which she agreed, so Ms. Mondejar would be working on yet another housing organization for the City in the future. Ms. Marte-Bautista stated that Ms. Mondejar was a very dedicated member of the community and very deserving of this honor.

Both Chair Bustos and Vice-Chair Rosales spoke with great enthusiasm about Ms. Mondejar during her years on the Commission and thanked her for all her efforts.

Executive Director Sesay spoke about starting in her position on the Commission and how having Ms. Mondejar as her mentor was so helpful. On behalf of herself and staff, Ms. Sesay extended congratulations and stated that they all missed her very much.

Ms. Mondejar spoke to the Commission and audience. She stated that it had been a privilege to have served on OCII and to have worked with the amazing OCII staff in meeting OCII's goals.

Vice-Chair Rosales motioned to move Item 5(a) and Commissioner Scott seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(a).

Commissioner Brackett – yes
Commissioner Scott - yes
Vice-Chair Rosales - yes
Chair Bustos – yes

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 22-2019, COMMENDING AND EXPRESSING APPRECIATION TO MARILY MONDEJAR FOR HER SERVICES UPON THE OCCASION OF HER DEPARTURE FROM HER POSITION AS COMMISSIONER OF THE COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE, BE ADOPTED.

Ms. Mondejar was awarded the resolution by all the Commissioners and Executive Director Sesay and pictures were taken of the event.

- b) Commending and expressing appreciation to Darshan Singh for his services upon the occasion of his departure from his position as Commissioner of the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure (Discussion and Action)(Resolution 23-2019)

Commissioner Brackett led the reading of the resolution honoring Mr. Singh for his service as Commissioner first on the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and then as Commissioner on OCII starting in 1995 to 2019. Commissioner Scott, Vice-Chair Rosales and lastly Chair Bustos finished reading the resolution.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Oscar James, native resident, Bayview Hunters Point (BVHP); Dorris Vincent, resident BVHP

Mr. James stated that it was an honor to be there for this resolution but was very sad to see Mr. Singh leave the Commission. He described some of Mr. Singh's accomplishments over the years, especially in development of minority business and how the City had benefitted from his hard work. Mr. James stated that Mr. Singh had truly been an asset (and still was) to the City. He described Mr. Singh as a big brother and wished him all the happiness and success in the future and stated that he would miss him very much.

Ms. Vincent stated that she wanted to acknowledge both honorees today. She stated that she had watched the Commission change over the years. Since retiring in 1996, she had spent many hours at the meetings but now because of her health, she felt she could do what she had to do within the community rather than attend the meetings. She commended Mr. Singh for his dedication and hard work for the City and especially for BVHP.

Commissioner Scott stated that she had learned a great deal from Mr. Singh while he was on the Commission and thanked him for his service.

Vice-Chair Rosales thanked Mr. Singh for all his work. She stated that he was the anchor for her when she started as Commissioner and that he had provided the history, the knowledge and stability they needed to do this work. Ms. Rosales commended Mr. Singh as a steadfast supporter of minority women in business.

Executive Director Sesay stated that it had been a pleasure and an honor to work with Mr. Singh. She explained that he provided the continuity from the former Redevelopment Agency and helped everyone move forward after the difficult dissolution period. She thanked him for his leadership.

Commissioner Brackett stated that she was very proud to be there with so many amazing people there before her. She hoped she might be able to have as much of an impact as both Commissioners had made.

Chair Bustos spoke about being on the Redevelopment Agency years earlier with Commissioner Singh and Commissioner King, among others, and how exciting it was at that time for redevelopment, because it was an agency that needed to fix its history and wanted to right the wrongs of actions in the past. He described how the actions of Commissioner King and Commissioner Singh challenged the other Commissioners to work harder and do the right thing.

Mr. Singh spoke about serving as Commissioner for 24 years and being involved in the transformation of the City over the years. He also spoke about his experience serving the City earlier in his career.

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 5(b) and Vice-Chair Rosales seconded that motion.

Secretary Cruz called for a voice vote on Item 5(b).

Commissioner Brackett – yes

Commissioner Scott - yes

Vice-Chair Rosales - yes

Chair Bustos – yes

ADOPTION: IT WAS VOTED BY FOUR COMMISSIONERS THAT RESOLUTION NO. 23-2019, COMMENDING AND EXPRESSING APPRECIATION TO DARSHAN SINGH FOR HIS SERVICES UPON THE OCCASION OF HIS DEPARTURE FROM HIS POSITION AS COMMISSIONER OF THE COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY INVESTMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE, BE ADOPTED.

Mr. Singh was awarded the resolution by all the Commissioners and Executive Director Sesay and pictures were taken of the event.

- c) Update on the California Department of Public Health Radiological Health and Safety Survey and Associated Final Reports for Hunters Point Shipyard Parcel A (Discussion)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Lila Hussain, Project Manager, Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Phase II; Gonzalo Perez, Chief, Radiological Health Dept., California Department of Public Health (DPH)

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Dorris Vincent, resident, BVHP; Oscar James, native resident, BVHP; Linda Parker Pennington, Shipyard resident; Steve Zoltzer, UPW for Action

Ms. Vincent stated that no present Commissioner was on the Commission when they started building on Parcel A-1 and described how the CAC was housed on Parcel A-1, in the officers housing and that one house had been set aside for staff. When it was determined that they would actually be building on A-1, they were moved to a trailer. Ms. Vincent reported that it was her understanding that Parcel A-1 was never contaminated because it was the officer's housing area and she was familiar with all of those houses. Because of that, she stated she felt that Parcel A-1 must be safe. She added that everyone needed to understand what was naturally occurring in the soil, such as serpentine rock, which was not the Navy's fault.

Mr. James stated that he served on the Mayor's task force when the Navy shipyard first closed and reported to former Mayor Alioto about the contaminants which nobody believed existed at that time. Mr. James remembered that Parcels A and A-2 held the officers' housing and Officer's Club and other things. He claimed that everybody who grew up in BVHP or whose parents had worked in BVHP knew where the main contaminants were located.

Ms. Pennington thanked Commissioners Scott and Brackett for responding to her comments at the previous meeting. She reported that the level of trust of the Shipyard homeowners with the Navy was completely broken and has gotten worse due to the Navy's lack of transparency and stalling tactics. Ms. Pennington explained that homeowners started asking for dust sampling in May 2018, had to request it four times and then it took them nine months to do the study, the results of which homeowners had just received after asking for them. Only homeowners who had samples taken got their results and the data had not been shared with other homeowners. Ms. Pennington stated that the CAC representation was very weak and it seemed the leaders cared more about protecting the Navy's interest and the project's interest rather than protecting public health. For example, homeowners asked for two independent experts to come before the CAC meeting and it took five months to put them on the agenda, which she described this as a specific stalling tactic. She then described how the experts, when they finally appeared to present, were discredited during the meeting. Ms. Pennington called the independent review commissioned by UC Berkeley and UCSF a farce because those sitting on the review panel favored the Navy and stated that they needed someone who was actually objective. Ms. Pennington suggested they fire Ms. Amy Brownell (Environmental Engineer, San Francisco Department of Public Health), who appeared to be working for Lennar, which was a clear conflict of interest. She also suggested they begin to pay for all Bayview residents to be tested and finally to fix the independent study so that it was truly independent, objective and thorough.

Mr. Zolter stated that Hunters Point (HP) and Treasure Island were both crime scenes involving a billion dollar ecofraud scheme of money being spent for falsified testing and clean-up that had not been done. He reminded Commissioners that two inspectors from Tetrattech had been put in jail and six others fired and that Test America had also falsified asbestos test results. An OSHA inspector, a whistleblower, was fired for complaining about the test. Mr. Zolter wondered why no criminal investigation had ever been conducted on this. He asked why a City would build housing on a radioactive nuclear dump site at HP and Treasure Island. He stated that the answer was because that City made a profit from it and made money for Lennar who was totally connected to the politicians in the City. Mr. Zolter described the systemic corruption of the development of these areas and stated that Amy Brownell, an environmental engineer working for the (City and County of San Francisco (CCSF), was being paid by Lennar, the developer and was helping to sell homes for Lennar, a clear conflict of interest. Mr. Zolter inquired about why no independent testing had been done of residents who have been contaminated and have died or contracted cancer. He felt strongly that politicians were being paid off by the developers and the individuals behind this scheme should be prosecuted, including OCII Commissioners, because they had the information to stop this insanity.

Commissioner Scott expressed concern about the conflict of interest discussed here regarding Amy Brownell, if she were selling homes and working on the same project for the City. Ms. Scott referred to the fact that the community kept asking for independent testing by another source and that this issue kept coming up at meetings. She inquired about whether independent testing by another source was possible.

Executive Director Sesay responded that in spring 2019 City leaders called for an independent study commissioned by UC Berkeley and UCSF, which was in progress and out of the hands of OCII.

Commissioner Scott responded that there had been issues regarding UC Berkeley being a part of that study. She inquired about whether another university, like Stanford, or another institution could come in and conduct another study.

Commissioner Brackett referred to some of the comments that had come directly from the community. One question from the community was whether there were chemical elements and isotopes that might not be able to be picked up with the DPH type of testing.

Mr. Perez responded that there were particles that might not have been detected by their investigation. He described their testing as a scanning and walkover survey designed to find gamma-producing radionuclides. He explained that on top of that, the dust survey looked for strontium and plutonium, so that overall gamma, beta and alpha particles were covered by the testing. Mr. Perez added that to find the other particles in question would have required a soil test and lab analysis. He stressed that the main objective of their survey was to cover and find fission products from the Shipyard, which they did not find.

Commissioner Brackett inquired about whether a soil sample testing would give more accurate results than the current scanning testing being done.

Mr. Perez responded in the affirmative. He explained that if the site was impacted by radioactive materials, an historical site testing would have been conducted by the Navy. That would indicate that the site was an impacted site and then they would have had to perform those kinds of soil and lab testing to find those particles. Mr. Perez reported that because the Navy decided not to conduct those types of tests, it was determined that the site was not impacted.

Commissioner Brackett asked if impacted sites were considered to be Superfund sites; inquired about serpentine rock and the health risks in the soil from it.

Mr. Perez responded that some impacted sites were considered to be Superfund sites, which were chemically or radioactively contaminated, but not all impacted sites were Superfund sites. To the serpentine rock question, he responded that this was outside of his area of expertise but added that serpentine rock did have a natural radioactive element.

Vice-Chair Rosales thanked presenters for the very thorough presentation. She inquired whether DPH had performed the surveys at the request or under contract of the CCSF; inquired about who had paid for this service; inquired about whether there was any management or control by the Navy over the conduct of the work.

Mr. Perez responded that they had conducted the surveys at the request of CCSF, which came from the City, the Navy and the EPA. He stated that the Navy reimbursed them for all their work on the site and for all the resources that were used. Mr. Perez responded in the negative to the question regarding control by the Navy. He added that the DPH wrote the procedure and plan themselves and published a draft procedure for comments, which they did receive from the EPA. Therefore, in his view it was an independent procedure.

Vice-Chair Rosales commented that every time this issue came up in a variety of different ways, there were new worries and concerns for the Commission about building housing on contaminated land, so they had to turn to the experts for answers. She inquired about whether the survey done by DPH was the only type of testing that would be appropriate to get to the core issue as to whether the soil was contaminated.

Mr. Perez responded that he believed that this survey was appropriate because it had been in accordance with what was found in the HSA, which was all they had to go by. Then the question remained as to whether the residents were currently being exposed to unnecessary manmade radiation. Mr. Perez stated that they walked over the site with highly sensitive instruments and took the necessary static measurements and, as a result, his conclusion was that there were no current health and safety problems at the site.

Commissioner Scott inquired about whether, if their equipment performed only a certain type of measurement, another survey could be conducted with other measurements to identify other contaminants, because it seemed there were different substances causing more problems.

Chair Bustos responded that this was being covered by Supervisor Shamann Walton (San Francisco Board of Supervisors representing District 10) and the City.

Commissioner Brackett referred to an email that residents could use to communicate with DPH and inquired about how that worked; she inquired about whether that email address was still available.

Mr. Perez responded that at the beginning of the project they had received about 30-40 emails from different residents. He responded that the email address was still available.

Chair Bustos thanked DPH for their presentation and restated that according to their measurements and findings, it was concluded that there were no current health and safety problems at the site.

Mr. Perez thanked OCII for inviting them to speak. He stated that their focus was to protect the citizens of California and the environment.

Chair Bustos requested that they take the agenda out of order and now move to Item e) and then return to the remaining agenda items.

- e) Workshop on the Annual Certificate of Preference Marketing and Outreach Report, Fiscal Year 2017-18 from the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (Discussion)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Pamela Sims, Senior Development Specialist, Housing Division; Maria Benjamin, Deputy Director, Home Ownership & Below Market Rate Programs, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD)

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speakers: Oscar James, resident BVHP; Linda Parker Pennington, Shipyard resident

Mr. James stated that he was on the original committee that created the Certificate of Preference (COP) program in 1968, which started in BVHP. He recalled that each COP holder received \$4500 and each individual living in the house at that time received a COP. Mr. James felt strongly that the ceiling was too high for the COP program because many COP holders did not make enough money to qualify for this type of housing. He asked OCII to take low-income COP holders into consideration.

Ms. Pennington stated that the housing problem was much bigger than the COP program. She explained that her husband worked for SF Urban and one of his jobs was to go out to find displaced COP holders in Modesto or Stockton and other counties or anywhere to find out if they wanted to come back to San Francisco. Ms. Pennington described this as an extremely difficult job to do because there was not enough time to find all the people who had been displaced, then to work with them to bring them into the system to get back to SF. She stated that a lot more work needed to be done.

Commissioner Scott thanked the team for their presentation and especially Sonia McDaniel's work in the COP program. Ms. Scott described her own nephew's experience in getting into housing and thanked Ms. Sims and Ms. Benjamin for their help in this personal success story. She inquired about putting ads on MUNI.

Ms. Benjamin responded in the affirmative and explained that they were waiting for a new MUNI staff person to start to be able to continue the work on this project. She reported that the plan was to put ads inside buses and trains and in bus stops.

Commissioner Brackett referred to the 2,494 COP holders who had not been reached out to. She inquired about whether they had considered bringing in genealogy companies to help in the program because 78% of African-American San Franciscans were COP holders and specifically involved in the SF displacement. Ms. Brackett inquired about how they were reaching out to the displaced individuals who had moved very far away to other counties to let them know about these housing opportunities.

Ms. Benjamin responded that in 2010 the Redevelopment Agency conducted a search with a company using whatever technology was available at that time which resulted in acquiring another 200 more contacts. She responded that they had not considered using genealogy companies, but that this was something to consider.

Commissioner Brackett referred to a statement during the presentation that a certain number of applicants did not qualify due to credit, criminal or other barriers. She referred to the applicants that had not responded or had withdrawn and inquired about how much of this was about applicants just giving up after seeing the qualifications and paperwork that was required.

Ms. Benjamin responded that Ms. McDaniels followed up on those individuals and made contact to find out why they did not pursue the application process. She explained that there were many reasons why applicants did not follow through, but that they did try to get an answer and it was usually not because of credit problems. Ms. Benjamin listed other barriers, such as if someone had

a criminal record within the last seven years, they would be barred from housing. She stressed that all applicants still had to qualify for the housing and re-entry was an issue.

Vice-Chair Rosales thanked the team for their presentation and was pleased with the DAHLIA update. She stressed that she still wanted to see the matching feature between what applicants wanted and what the opportunities were to be able to match these applicants with the appropriate opportunity.

Ms. Benjamin responded that this was a dream but reported that they did not have the technology or the money to do that yet. She reported that DAHLIA did let applicants know if they were qualified for a certain housing opportunity. However, that was the extent of it and this was in the work plan.

Vice-Chair Rosales suggested that Ms. Benjamin discuss the funding issue of DAHLIA technology with Executive Director Sesay to be able to move the conversation forward. She referred to the 2017 Lake Research Partners survey and inquired about whether that was the same survey commissioned by OCII.

Ms. Benjamin responded that it was the same survey.

Vice-Chair Rosales asked for confirmation that the Q Foundation was able to fund rent subsidy support because the City granted the money to the Q Foundation money. She referred to Prop C and about the money allocated to prevent homelessness and stated that it seemed that rent subsidies fell into that category. Ms. Rosales suggested that they take a look at the ability to connect the COP program to that funding source when Prop C was implemented,

To the Q Foundation question, Ms. Benjamin responded in the affirmative. To Prop C, she responded that it was on their radar to follow up with this idea.

Chair Bustos pointed out that there were 2500 unknown COP holders and he stated that he wanted to find these individuals because there might be some individuals within those 2500 who would be interested if they knew about the COP program. He also liked the genealogy program idea. Mr. Bustos referred to the 55 total households who had been interested but were under or over income, credit restricted or criminal restricted or had other barriers. Mr. Bustos stressed that families had not originally been displaced because of these reasons but mainly because of race. He inquired about why they kept making it harder for these families to get back into housing now when there had been no criteria for them being kicked out of housing back in the 60's. He stated that this was a moral and ethical question and that it was not right. He stated that they needed to adjust this policy to make it right for those who had been displaced. Mr. Bustos reported that the governor was very much in support of the COP program, so perhaps they could review this issue with him.

Ms. Benjamin responded that this was probably a state policy issue.

Commissioner Brackett inquired about revisiting the resolution by the Board of Supervisors in 1998 regarding grandfathering in COP holders to keep the lineage going and remove the five-year reauthorization to allow the COP to continue into perpetuity.

Ms. Benjamin responded that there were rules about grandfathering out the COP and that this was for the City to resolve.

Chair Bustos instructed Executive Director Sesay to follow up on these questions and items.

Commissioner Scott commented that over the last three months they had been receiving phone calls regarding the Q Foundation and the fact that they were four months behind in paying the subsidy. The Q Foundation had responded that this was not a financial issue but something else.

Ms. Scott inquired about who had oversight for the Q Foundation as far as making sure they got the payments out and doing what they were supposed to do.

Ms. Benjamin stated that she would try to get some answers to that issue.

d) Workshop on the Annual Housing Production Report, Fiscal Year 2017-18 (Discussion)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Jeff White, Housing Program Manager

In the interest of time, Chair Bustos limited public comment for this item to two minutes per speaker.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Speaker: Oscar James, BVHP resident

Mr. James stated that the Redevelopment Agency used to have a training program for residents to be trained as property managers, among other things, and would like OCII to bring this program back again. He also asked OCII to come up with a program to help residents who made minimum wage be able to get into housing.

f) Workshop on the January – June 2019 Report on OCII Small Business Enterprise and Local Hiring Goals Practices (Discussion)

Presenters: Nadia Sesay, Executive Director; Raymond Lee, Contract Compliance Supervisor; Joshua Arce, Director of Workforce Development, Office of Economic and Workforce Development; Ken Nim, Manager of Contract Compliance/Acting CityBuild Director OEWD

PUBLIC COMMENT - None

Commissioner Scott stated that she had attended the earlier Chili Cookoff sponsored by CityBuild, which had been well-attended by a very diverse group of people, multi-generational and by families with kids. She thanked OEWD and CityBuild for helping so many different groups of people. However, she stated that there were many others who were not being included or benefitting. Ms. Scott suggested holding a VIP table talk to reach out to all minority business people of all genders and contractors to share the opportunities and possibilities and allow them to share the obstacles they were experiencing. She stated that many minority businesses had lost hope and either shut down their businesses or downsized and were working other jobs. Overall Ms. Scott applauded their efforts.

Commissioner Brackett inquired about the current categories of labor pools in which the demands for workers were not currently being met, specifically, the worker request referrals.

Mr. Arce responded that this was happening in every industry but specifically in construction. He deferred to Mr. Nim for more details.

Mr. Nim responded that this was especially relevant in the Warriors Arena project and especially for ironworkers. He described the challenge in getting ironworkers on every construction project in SF. As a result, CityBuild had offered special training in the Gladiator program to get people trained in iron work and rebar and they were working with the Ironworkers Union to get people trained in welding and structural steel, which was not as physically difficult. Mr. Nim reported that, as a result, they had increased classes and gotten more people into this type of training. Other professions in high demand were electricians and plumbers, which were very difficult to obtain. He reported that they were working to provide more training in those skills and to help get workers into the union.

Vice-Chair Rosales inquired about whether they were working with the Department of Homelessness to bring people into training programs.

Mr. Arce responded that they had Mayor Breed's direction to do exactly that. He gave as an example one man who was living in a tent and had a record but who had experience in construction. They tried helping this person right away. Mr. Arce described the challenge of homelessness, the anxiety, the worry about housing and the future, and what that did to someone living on the street, which created additional layers of barriers. Part of their contribution was to find this individual some housing, perhaps in a navigation center, some funding for tools, get him back on a schedule and to start working again. Mr. Arce explained that they were thinking about a program for the homeless and that this was their next frontier.

Chair Bustos congratulated Mr. Arce and Mr. Nim for their efforts, commended Clark Construction for their hard work in this area, and thanked the Warriors for doing the right thing in this effort. Mr. Bustos inquired about what other employment opportunities there would be for these people to work on once these buildings are completed.

6. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items

Speaker: Dorris Vincent, BVHP resident

Ms. Vincent stated that CityBuild had been doing a wonderful job. She stated that there was a need to help emancipated teenagers. She was envisioning a dormitory situation with a dorm mother because many of these youth did not have any life skills and needed someone to guide them. Ms. Vincent also referred to Mr. James earlier comment about residents in SF making under \$30,000/year and the fact that affordable housing did not help them. So they need to do better in this regard.

7. Report of the Chair

Chair Bustos announced that he had no report.

8. Report of the Executive Director

Executive Director Sesay announced that she had no report.

9. Commissioners' Questions and Matters – None

10. Closed Session - None

11. Adjournment

Commissioner Scott motioned to move Item 11 and Commissioner Brackett seconded that motion.

Chair Bustos adjourned the meeting at 4:41 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Jaimie Cruz
Commission Secretary