

Oversight Board of the City and County of San Francisco

RESOLUTION NO. 5-2013

Adopted June 10, 2013

RESOLUTION ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND CONDITIONALLY AUTHORIZING A THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE MISSION BAY SOUTH OWNER PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT WITH FOCIL-MB, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, TO ALLOW A MIXTURE OF HOTEL, RESIDENTIAL, AND RETAIL USE ON BLOCK 1; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA.

WHEREAS, The Commission of the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco ("Redevelopment Commission") and the San Francisco Planning Commission, together acting as co-lead agencies for conducting environmental review for the Redevelopment Plans for the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Project area and the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (the "Plans"), the Mission Bay North Owner Participation Agreement ("North OPA") and the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement ("South OPA"), and other permits, approvals and related and collateral action (the "Mission Bay Project"), prepared and certified a Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report and have subsequently issued addenda thereto as described below (collectively referred to as the FSEIR"); and,

WHEREAS, On September 17, 1998, the Redevelopment Commission adopted Resolution No. 182-98 which certified the Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report ("FSEIR") as a program EIR for Mission Bay North and South pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15168 (Program EIR) and 15180 (Redevelopment Plan EIR). On the same date, the Redevelopment Commission also adopted Resolution No. 183-98, which adopted environmental findings (including without limitation a statement of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program) ("CEQA Findings"), in connection with the approval of the Mission Bay Project. The San Francisco Planning Commission ("Planning Commission") certified the FSEIR by Resolution No. 14696 on the same date. On October 19, 1998, the Board of Supervisors adopted Motion No. 98-132 affirming certification of the FSEIR by the Planning Commission and the Redevelopment Agency, and Resolution No. 854-98 adopting environmental findings (including without limitation a statement of overriding considerations and a mitigation monitoring and reporting program for the Mission Bay Project; and,

WHEREAS, On September 17, 1998, the Redevelopment Commission adopted Resolution No. 193-98, authorizing execution of a South OPA and related documents between Catellus Development Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Catellus"), and the Redevelopment Agency. On November 2, 1998, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors ("Board of Supervisors"), by Ordinance No. 335-98, adopted the Plan. The Plan and its implementing documents, as defined in the Plan, constitute the "Plan Documents"; and,

WHEREAS, Subsequent to certification of the FSEIR, the Planning Department and the Redevelopment Agency issued several addenda to the FSEIR. The addenda do not

identify any substantial new information or new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects that alter the conclusions reached in the FSEIR. The first addendum, dated March 21, 2000, analyzed temporary parking lots to serve the AT&T Ballpark. The second addendum, dated June 20, 2001, analyzed revisions to 7th Street bike lanes and relocation of a storm drain outfall provided for in the Mission Bay South Infrastructure Plan, a component of the South OPA. The third addendum, dated February 10, 2004, analyzed revisions to the Mission Bay South Design for Development ("Design for Development") with respect to the maximum allowable number of towers, tower separation and required step-backs. The fourth addendum, dated March 9, 2004, analyzed the Design for Development with respect to the permitted maximum number of parking spaces for bio-technical and similar research facilities and the Mission Bay North OPA with respect to changes to reflect a reduction in permitted commercial development and associated parking. The fifth addendum, dated October 4, 2005, analyzed the UCSF proposal to establish a Phase I 400-bed hospital in the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area ("Mission Bay South") on Blocks 36-39 and X-3. The sixth addendum, dated September 10, 2008, addressed revisions of the UCSF Medical Center at Mission Bay. The seventh addendum, dated January 7, 2010, addressed the construction of a Public Safety Building on Block 8 in Mission Bay South; and,

WHEREAS, The South OPA has been amended twice by the Redevelopment Commission, the first time on February 17, 2004 (Resolution No. 23-2004) and the second time on November 1, 2005 (Resolution No. 177-2005); and,

WHEREAS, Catellus, the original master developer of the Mission Bay North and South Redevelopment Project Areas, has sold most of its remaining undeveloped land in Mission Bay to FOCIL-MB, LLC, ("FOCIL-MB"), a subsidiary of Farallon Capital Management, LLC, a large investment management firm. The sale encompassed approximately 71 acres of land in Mission Bay, and the remaining undeveloped residential parcels in Mission Bay South. FOCIL-MB assumed all of Catellus' obligations under the North OPA and South OPA, as well as all responsibilities under the related public improvement agreements and land transfer agreements with the City and County of San Francisco ("City"). FOCIL-MB is bound by all terms of the OPAs and related agreements, including the requirements of the affordable housing program, equal opportunity program, and design review process; and,

WHEREAS, Under California Assembly Bill No. 1X26 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2011-12, First Extraordinary Session) ("AB 26") and the California Supreme Court's decision in California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos, No. 5194861, all redevelopment agencies in the State of California (the "State"), including the Redevelopment Agency, were dissolved by operation of law as of February 1, 2012, and their non-affordable housing assets and obligations were transferred to certain designated successor agencies; and,

WHEREAS, Under the provisions of AB 26, the City was designated as the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency ("Successor Agency") to receive the assets of the Redevelopment Agency; and,

WHEREAS, In June of 2012, the California legislature adopted Assembly Bill 1484 ("AB 1484") amending certain provisions of AB 26, and the Governor of the State signed the bill and it became effective on June 27, 2012. Among other

things, AB 1484 provided that a successor agency is a separate public entity from the public agency that provides for its governance; and,

WHEREAS, Subsequent to the adoption of AB 1484, on October 2, 2012 the Board of Supervisors of the City, acting as the legislative body of the Successor Agency, adopted Ordinance No. 215-12 (the "Implementing Ordinance"), which Implementing Ordinance was signed by the Mayor on October 4, 2012, and which, among other matters: (a) acknowledged and confirmed that, as of the effective date of AB 1484, the Successor Agency, commonly known as the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure ("OCII"), is a separate legal entity from the City, and (b) established the Successor Agency Commission ("Commission") and delegated to it the authority to (i) act in place of the Redevelopment Commission to, among other matters, implement, modify, enforce and complete the Redevelopment Agency's enforceable obligations, (ii) approve all contracts and actions related to the assets transferred to or retained by the Successor Agency, including, without limitation, the authority to exercise land use, development, and design approval, consistent with applicable enforceable obligations, and (iii) take any action that the Redevelopment Dissolution Law (AB 26 and AB 1484, as amended in the future) requires or authorizes on behalf of the Successor Agency and any other action that the Successor Agency Commission deems appropriate, consistent with the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, to comply with such obligations; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' delegation to the Commission, commonly known as the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, includes the authority to grant approvals under specified land use controls for the Mission Bay Project consistent with the approved Plan and enforceable obligations, including amending an existing obligation as allowed by the Redevelopment Dissolution Law; and,

WHEREAS, Redevelopment Dissolution Law places the performance of certain duties by successor agencies under the supervision of newly established oversight boards. The oversight boards oversee the fiscal management of future successor agency activities regarding the enforceable obligations. In performing their functions, the oversight boards owe fiduciary responsibilities to the holders of enforceable obligations and the taxing entities entitled to the distribution of property tax revenues under the Redevelopment Dissolution Law. Redevelopment Dissolution Law requires that each action of an oversight board be by resolution, subject to review by the California Department of Finance; and,

WHEREAS, The Mayor (with confirmation by the Board of Supervisors), the Bay Area Rapid Transit District, the Chancellor of the California Community College, and the San Francisco School District have appointed members to this Oversight Board; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency has prepared a proposed third amendment to the South OPA (the "OPA Amendment") in conjunction with an amendment to the Plan (the "Plan Amendment") for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area to allow a mixture of hotel, residential, and retail use on Block 1; and,

WHEREAS, OCII engaged PKF Consulting USA ("PKF") to complete a peer review study to determine if a 500-room hotel on Block 1 would be feasible in the current market conditions. PKF found that in the current market, a 500-room hotel would not be feasible, but a smaller, 250-room hotel would be feasible and would result in fiscal benefits that would not otherwise occur in the current market if the site remained entitled for a 500-room hotel; and,

- WHEREAS, The proposed OPA Amendment would provide for development on Block 1 of either a 500-room hotel with up to 50,000 square feet of retail, as currently allowed by the Plan, or an alternative development of up to 350 dwelling units, 250 hotel rooms, and 25,000 square feet of retail. Any residential development on Block 1 would be required to pay an in-lieu fee for affordable housing if condominiums are built, and provide affordable inclusionary units for rental projects, which will be targeted to low-income households of up to sixty percent (60%) of the area median income, as adjusted only for household size ("AMI"), which is consistent with the typical maximum affordability of stand-alone affordable housing projects that OCII is constructing in Mission Bay South; and,
- WHEREAS, By allowing for residential use and an economically-feasible hotel, the OPA Amendment will support the full economic use of Block 1 and will accelerate the completion of development under the Plan, the South OPA and the related enforceable obligations. The change in permitted uses on Block 1 is expected to result in its development, which would generate more revenues from property taxes payable to the taxing entities, including the City and County of San Francisco, the Bay Area Rapid Transit District, the San Francisco Community College District, and the San Francisco Unified School District, as well as the State of California, compared with the existing, undeveloped conditions. The OPA Amendment does not propose any new capital expenditures by the Successor Agency or any change in the Successor Agency's overall method of financing the redevelopment of the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area, and will accelerate the completion of development under the Plan and the South OPA; and,
- WHEREAS, On May 21, 2013, the Commission conditionally approved the OPA Amendment related to the Mission Bay Project (the "Implementing Action"); and,
- WHEREAS, Since the certification of the FSEIR, adoption of the CEQA Findings, and approval of the Mission Bay Project, the Successor Agency prepared an Addendum #8 to the FSEIR, dated May 15, 2013 ("Addendum #8") that analyzes the OPA Amendment and Plan Amendment to allow up to 350 units of residential development on Block 1 as a secondary use, with a 250-room hotel and up to 25,000 square feet of retail uses; and,
- WHEREAS, The Successor Agency prepared Addendum #8 in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines and it reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Successor Agency. Addendum #8 concludes that the Mission Bay Project, as modified by the Plan Amendment and OPA Amendment is within the scope of the Mission Bay Project analyzed in the FSEIR and will not result in any new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects that alter the conclusions reached in the FSEIR for the reasons stated in the Addendum #8; and,
- WHEREAS, The Successor Agency staff, in making the necessary findings for the Implementing Action contemplated by this Resolution, considered and reviewed the FSEIR, and has made documents related to the Implementing Action, the FSEIR files, including Addendum #8, available for review by the Oversight Board and the public, and these files are part of the record before the Oversight Board; and,
- WHEREAS, Copies of the FSEIR, including Addendum #8 and supporting documentation are on file with the Oversight Board Secretary and are incorporated in this Resolution by this reference; and,

WHEREAS, The Implementing Action is an undertaking pursuant to and in furtherance of the Mission Bay Project in conformance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15180; and,

WHEREAS, The FSEIR and CEQA Findings adopted by the Agency Commission by Resolution No. 183-98 dated September 17, 1998, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Agency Commission, were and remain adequate, accurate and objective and were prepared and adopted following the procedures required by CEQA, and the findings in such resolution are incorporated herein by reference as applicable to the Implementing Action; and,

WHEREAS, OCII staff has reviewed the OPA Amendment conditionally approved by the Commission on May 21, 2013, and finds it acceptable and recommends approval thereof; and,

WHEREAS, A copy of the OPA Amendment is on file with the Secretary of the Oversight Board and fully incorporated herein; and,

WHEREAS, This Oversight Board now desires to approve the OPA Amendment as contemplated by the Successor Agency Resolution, attached hereto as Exhibit A; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, This Oversight Board has considered the FSEIR, the CEQA Findings that were previously adopted by the Redevelopment Commission, including the statement of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program, and the Addendum #8, and the Oversight Board adopts the CEQA Findings and Addendum #8 as its own; and, be it further

RESOLVED, This Oversight Board finds and determines that the Implementing Action is within the scope of the Mission Bay Project analyzed in the FSEIR and requires no further environmental review beyond the FSEIR pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines Section 15180, 15162 and 15163 for the following reasons:

- (1) implementation of the OPA Amendment does not require major revisions to the FSEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant impacts; and,
- (2) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project analyzed in the FSEIR will be undertaken that would require major revisions to the FSEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects, or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the FSEIR; and,
- (3) no new information of substantial importance to the project analyzed in the FSEIR has become available, which would indicate that (i) the OPA Amendment will have significant effects not discussed in the FSEIR; (ii) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe; (iii) mitigation measures or alternatives found not feasible, which would reduce one or more significant effects, have become feasible; or (iv) mitigation measures or alternatives, which are considerably different from those in the FSEIR, will substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment that would change the conclusions set forth in the FSEIR; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That this Oversight Board approves the OPA Amendment, substantially in the form on file with the Secretary of this Oversight Board, subject to the approval of this action by the California Department of Finance.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Oversight Board at its meeting of June 10, 2013.

Natasha Jones
Oversight Board Secretary

EXHIBIT A

Successor Agency Resolution No. 16-2013

Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure

RESOLUTION NO. 16-2013

Adopted May 21, 2013

RESOLUTION ADOPTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FINDINGS PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT AND CONDITIONALLY AUTHORIZING A THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE MISSION BAY SOUTH OWNER PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT WITH FOCIL-MB, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, TO ALLOW A MIXTURE OF HOTEL, RESIDENTIAL, AND RETAIL USE ON BLOCK 1; MISSION BAY SOUTH REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA

WHEREAS, The Commission of the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco ("Redevelopment Commission") and the San Francisco Planning Commission, together acting as co-lead agencies for conducting environmental review for the Redevelopment Plans for the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Project area and the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area (the "Plans"), the Mission Bay North Owner Participation Agreement ("North OPA") and the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement ("South OPA"), and other permits, approvals and related and collateral action (the "Mission Bay Project"), prepared and certified a Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report and have subsequently issued addenda thereto as described below (collectively referred to as the FSEIR"); and,

WHEREAS, On September 17, 1998, the Redevelopment Commission adopted Resolution No. 182-98 which certified the Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report ("FSEIR") as a program EIR for Mission Bay North and South pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15168 (Program EIR) and 15180 (Redevelopment Plan EIR). On the same date, the Redevelopment Commission also adopted Resolution No. 183-98, which adopted environmental findings (including without limitation a statement of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program) ("CEQA Findings"), in connection with the approval of the Mission Bay Project. The San Francisco Planning Commission ("Planning Commission") certified the FSEIR by Resolution No. 14696 on the same date. On October 19, 1998, the Board of Supervisors adopted Motion No. 98-132 affirming certification of the FSEIR by the Planning Commission and the Redevelopment Agency, and Resolution No. 854-98 adopting environmental findings (including without limitation a statement of overriding considerations and a mitigation monitoring and reporting program for the Mission Bay Project; and,

WHEREAS, On September 17, 1998, the Redevelopment Commission adopted Resolution No. 193-98, authorizing execution of an South OPA and related documents between Catellus Development Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Catellus"), and the Redevelopment Agency. On November 2, 1998, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors ("Board of Supervisors"), by Ordinance No. 335-98, adopted the Plan. The Plan and its implementing documents, as defined in the Plan, constitute the "Plan Documents"; and,

WHEREAS, Subsequent to certification of the FSEIR, the Planning Department and the Redevelopment Agency issued several addenda to the FSEIR. The addenda do not identify any substantial new information or new significant impacts or a

substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects that alter the conclusions reached in the FSEIR. The first addendum, dated March 21, 2000, analyzed temporary parking lots to serve the AT&T Ballpark. The second addendum, dated June 20, 2001, analyzed revisions to 7th Street bike lanes and relocation of a storm drain outfall provided for in the Mission Bay South Infrastructure Plan, a component of the South OPA. The third addendum, dated February 10, 2004, analyzed revisions to the Mission Bay South Design for Development ("Design for Development") with respect to the maximum allowable number of towers, tower separation and requires step-backs. The fourth addendum, dated March 9, 2004, analyzed the Design for Development with respect to the permitted maximum number of parking spaces for bio-technical and similar research facilities and the Mission Bay North OPA with respect to changes to reflect a reduction in permitted commercial development and associated parking. The fifth addendum, dated October 4, 2005, analyzed the UCSF proposal to establish a Phase I 400-bed hospital in the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area ("Mission Bay South") on Blocks 36-39 and X-3. The sixth addendum, dated September 10, 2008, addressed revisions of the UCSF Medical Center at Mission Bay. The seventh addendum, dated January 7, 2010, addressed the construction of a Public Safety Building on Block 8 in Mission Bay South; and,

WHEREAS, Catellus, the original master developer of the Mission Bay North and South Redevelopment Project Areas, has sold most of its remaining undeveloped land in Mission Bay to FOCIL-MB, LLC, ("FOCIL-MB"), a subsidiary of Farallon Capital Management, LLC, a large investment management firm. The sale encompassed approximately 71 acres of land in Mission Bay, and the remaining undeveloped residential parcels in Mission Bay South. FOCIL-MB assumed all of Catellus' obligations under the North OPA and South OPA, as well as all responsibilities under the related public improvement agreements and land transfer agreements with the City and County of San Francisco ("City"). FOCIL-MB is bound by all terms of the OPAs and related agreements, including the requirements of the affordable housing program, equal opportunity program, and design review process; and,

WHEREAS, Under California Assembly Bill No. 1X26 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2011-12, First Extraordinary Session) ("AB 26") and the California Supreme Court's decision in California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos, No. 5194861, all redevelopment agencies in the State of California (the "State"), including the Redevelopment Agency, were dissolved by operation of law as of February 1, 2012, and their non-affordable housing assets and obligations were transferred to certain designated successor agencies; and,

WHEREAS, Under the provisions of AB 26, the City was designated as the successor agency to the Redevelopment Agency ("Successor Agency") to receive the assets of the Redevelopment Agency; and,

WHEREAS, In June of 2012, the California legislature adopted Assembly Bill 1484 ("AB 1484") amending certain provisions of AB 26, and the Governor of the State signed the bill and it became effective on June 27, 2012. Among other things, AB 1484 provided that a successor agency is a separate public entity from the public agency that provides for its governance; and,

WHEREAS, Subsequent to the adoption of AB 1484, on October 2, 2012 the Board of Supervisors of the City, acting as the legislative body of the Successor Agency, adopted Ordinance No. 215-12 (the "Implementing Ordinance"), which

Implementing Ordinance was signed by the Mayor on October 4, 2012, and which, among other matters: (a) acknowledged and confirmed that, as of the effective date of AB 1484, the Successor Agency, commonly known as the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure ("OCII"), is a separate legal entity from the City, and (b) established this Successor Agency Commission ("Commission") and delegated to it the authority to (i) act in place of the Redevelopment Commission to, among other matters, implement, modify, enforce and complete the Redevelopment Agency's enforceable obligations, (ii) approve all contracts and actions related to the assets transferred to or retained by the Successor Agency, including, without limitation, the authority to exercise land use, development, and design approval, consistent with applicable enforceable obligations, and (iii) take any action that the Redevelopment Dissolution Law (AB 26 and AB 1484, as amended in the future) requires or authorizes on behalf of the Successor Agency and any other action that this Successor Agency Commission deems appropriate, consistent with the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, to comply with such obligations; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' delegation to this Commission, commonly known as the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, includes the authority to grant approvals under specified land use controls for the Mission Bay Project consistent with the approved Plan and enforceable obligations, including amending an existing obligation as allowed by the Redevelopment Dissolution Law; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency has prepared a proposed third amendment to the South OPA ("OPA Amendment") in conjunction with an amendment to the Plan ("Plan Amendment") for the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area to allow a mixture of hotel, residential, and retail use on Block 1; and,

WHEREAS, The Commission is currently considering approval of the OPA Amendment related to the Mission Bay Project (the "Implementing Action"); and,

WHEREAS, OCII engaged PKF Consulting USA ("PKF") to complete a peer review study to determine if a 500-room hotel on Block 1 would be feasible in the current market conditions. PKF found that in the current market, a 500-room hotel would not be feasible, but a smaller, 250-room hotel would be feasible and would result in fiscal benefits that would not otherwise occur in the current market if the site remained entitled for a 500-room hotel; and,

WHEREAS, The proposed OPA Amendment would provide for development on Block 1 of either a 500-room hotel with up to 50,000 square feet of retail, as currently allowed by the Plan, or an alternative development of up to 350 dwelling units, 250 hotel rooms, and 25,000 square feet of retail. Any residential development on Block 1 would be required to pay an in-lieu fee for affordable housing if condominiums are built, and provide affordable inclusionary units for rental projects, which will be targeted to low-income households of up to sixty percent (60%) of the area median income, as adjusted only for household size ("AMI"), which is consistent with the typical maximum affordability of stand-alone affordable housing projects that OCII is constructing in Mission Bay South; and,

WHEREAS, By allowing for residential use and an economically-feasible hotel, the OPA Amendment will support the full economic use of Block 1 and will accelerate the completion of development under the Plan, the South OPA and the related enforceable obligations. The change in permitted uses on Block 1 is expected to result in its development, which would generate more revenues from property

taxes payable to the taxing entities, including the City and County of San Francisco, the Bay Area Rapid Transit District, the San Francisco Community College District, and the San Francisco Unified School District, as well as the State of California, compared with the existing, undeveloped conditions. The OPA Amendment does not propose any new capital expenditures by the Successor Agency or any change in the Successor Agency's overall method of financing the redevelopment of the Mission Bay South Redevelopment Project Area, and will accelerate the completion of development under the Plan and the South OPA; and,

WHEREAS, Since the certification of the FSEIR, adoption of the CEQA Findings, and approval of the Mission Bay Project, the Successor Agency prepared an Addendum #8 to the FSEIR, dated May 15, 2013 ("Addendum #8") that analyzes the OPA Amendment and Plan Amendment to allow up to 350 units of residential development on Block 1 as a secondary use, with a 250-room hotel and up to 25,000 square feet of retail uses; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency prepared Addendum #8 in compliance with CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines and it reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the Successor Agency. Addendum #8 concludes that the Mission Bay Project, as modified by the Plan Amendment and OPA Amendment is within the scope of the Mission Bay Project analyzed in the FSEIR and will not result in any new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects that alter the conclusions reached in the FSEIR for the reasons stated in the Addendum #8; and,

WHEREAS, The Successor Agency staff, in making the necessary findings for the Implementing Action contemplated by this Resolution, considered and reviewed the FSEIR, and has made documents related to the Implementing Action, the FSEIR files, including Addendum #8, available for review by the Commission and the public, and these files are part of the record before the Commission; and,

WHEREAS, Copies of the FSEIR, including Addendum #8 and supporting documentation are on file with the Successor Agency Secretary and are incorporated in this Resolution by this reference; and,

WHEREAS, The Implementing Action is an undertaking pursuant to and in furtherance of the Mission Bay Project in conformance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15180; and,

WHEREAS, The FSEIR and CEQA Findings adopted by the Agency Commission by Resolution No. 183-98 dated September 17, 1998, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Agency Commission, were and remain adequate, accurate and objective and were prepared and adopted following the procedures required by CEQA, and the findings in such resolution are incorporated herein by reference as applicable to the Implementing Action; and,

WHEREAS, OCII staff has reviewed the OPA Amendment, and finds it acceptable and recommends approval thereof; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, The Commission has considered the FSEIR, the CEQA Findings that were previously adopted by the Redevelopment Commission, including the statement of overriding considerations and mitigation monitoring and reporting program, and the Addendum #8, and the Commission adopts the CEQA Findings and Addendum #8 as its own; and, be it further

RESOLVED, The Commission finds and determines that the Implementing Action is within the scope of the Mission Bay Project analyzed in the FSEIR and requires no further environmental review beyond the FSEIR pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines Section 15180, 15162 and 15163 for the following reasons:

- (1) implementation of the OPA Amendment does not require major revisions to the FSEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant impacts; and,
- (2) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project analyzed in the FSEIR will be undertaken that would require major revisions to the FSEIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects, or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the FSEIR; and,
- (3) no new information of substantial importance to the project analyzed in the FSEIR has become available, which would indicate that (i) the OPA Amendment will have significant effects not discussed in the FSEIR; (ii) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe; (iii) mitigation measures or alternatives found not feasible, which would reduce one or more significant effects, have become feasible; or (iv) mitigation measures or alternatives, which are considerably different from those in the FSEIR, will substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment that would change the conclusions set forth in the FSEIR; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commission approves the OPA Amendment, substantially in the form lodged with the Commission Secretary, subject to the following condition:

1. The Third Amendment to the Mission Bay South Owner Participation Agreement is conditioned on the final approval by the Oversight Board and California Department of Finance.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Successor Agency Commission at its meeting of May 21, 2013.

Natasha Jones
Commission Secretary